

Advantages & Disadvantages of Using Standard Deviation

Authored by
Mohammed loot

November 10, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

Mohammed loot (2025). *Advantages & Disadvantages of Using Standard Deviation*. PSYCHOLOGICAL STATISTICS. Retrieved from <https://statistics.arabpsychology.com/?p=15390>

Defining Standard Deviation and the Mechanics of its Calculation

The [standard deviation](#) (SD) is perhaps the most fundamental and widely used [measure of dispersion](#) in statistics. It serves as a vital tool for quantifying the amount of variability, or spread, within a given dataset. Specifically, the SD tells us the average distance individual data points typically deviate from the dataset's central tendency--the [mean value](#). When the standard deviation is low, it signals that the data points are tightly clustered around the mean; conversely, a high standard deviation indicates that the data points are widely scattered across a broad range of values. This metric provides essential context regarding how representative the mean is of the typical observation within the sample or population.

The mathematical foundation of the standard deviation involves calculating the square root of the variance. For a sample, denoted by the symbol s , the formula is specifically designed to give greater weight to observations that are far from the mean. This is achieved by squaring the differences between each data point and the mean. This algebraic structure is critical to understanding both the comprehensive strengths and the inherent weaknesses of the standard deviation as a descriptive statistic.

The formula used to calculate the sample standard deviation is presented below:

$$s = \sqrt{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2 / (n - 1)}$$

where the variables represent the following statistical components:

Σ : The summation symbol, representing the operation of summing all subsequent terms.

x_i : Represents the i th individual observation or value within the complete dataset.

\bar{x} : Denotes the sample mean, which is the arithmetic average of all values in the sample.

n : Represents the [sample size](#), indicating the total count of observations included in the dataset.

Statisticians frequently prefer the standard deviation because it offers a single, mathematically robust value that clearly communicates the inherent variability. While alternative metrics exist, the SD is often the superior choice due to its mathematical rigor and its necessary connection to the mean. We will now explore the two primary advantages and the single, critical disadvantage of employing this essential statistical measure.

Advantage 1: Comprehensive Utilization of All Data Points

A major strength of the standard deviation is that its calculation utilizes **every single observation** within the dataset. This comprehensive approach is highly valued because, when attempting to measure dispersion, the inclusion of all available data ensures that no critical "information" is inadvertently discarded. Unlike metrics that ignore portions of the data, such as the simple range

(which only considers the minimum and maximum values) or the [interquartile range](#) (IQR), the standard deviation provides a holistic and nuanced view of dispersion across the entire sample space.

To illustrate this benefit, consider a typical scenario involving the evaluation of student performance. Suppose we analyze the distribution of exam scores for a group of students:

Scores: 68, 70, 71, 75, 78, 82, 83, 83, 85, 90, 91, 91, 92

Using a calculator or reliable [statistical software](#), the **sample standard deviation for this initial dataset is approximately 8.46**. The critical advantage is evident: the calculation accounts equally for the student who scored 68 and the student who scored 92. This ensures the resulting measure of spread accurately represents the entire class performance.

This contrasts sharply with the [interquartile range](#) (IQR), which measures the spread only of the central 50% of the data. While the IQR offers robustness against extreme values, it means it is often insensitive to significant shifts or movements at the distribution's tails.

Now, let's demonstrate the difference by significantly altering the lowest score in the dataset, creating a new scenario with an extreme value:

Scores: 22, 70, 71, 75, 78, 82, 83, 83, 85, 90, 91, 91, 92

When we recalculate the metrics for this modified dataset, the impact on the standard deviation is dramatic. The **sample standard deviation now jumps to 18.37**. This substantial increase directly reflects the inclusion of the new, extremely low score (22) in the calculation of the overall spread. Crucially, the [interquartile range](#) remains stable at 17.5. This stability occurs because the extreme outlier (22) did not affect the median or the values comprising the middle 50%. This example clearly illustrates that the standard deviation comprehensively considers every observation, while other dispersion metrics are specifically designed to minimize the influence of the dataset's tails.

Advantage 2: Clarity, Interpretability, and Unit Consistency

The second major practical benefit of the standard deviation is its inherent ease of interpretation. The resulting value is always expressed in the **same units** as the original data. This direct unit relationship makes the standard deviation intuitive and readily accessible, regardless of the audience's statistical expertise. If the data measures height in centimeters, the SD is also in centimeters. If the data tracks stock prices in dollars, the SD is expressed in dollars. This straightforward, unit-based measure provides an excellent estimate of how far the "typical" observation in a dataset is expected to lie from the [mean value](#).

Returning to the initial set of exam scores:

Scores: 68, 70, 71, 75, 78, 82, 83, 83, 85, 90, 91, 91, 92

Since the sample standard deviation was calculated to be **8.46**, the interpretation is elegantly simple: the typical student's score deviates by approximately 8.46 points away from the mean exam score. This concrete, unit-based interpretation allows for immediate contextual understanding of the spread. If the SD were 2.0, we would immediately know the scores were highly consistent; if it were 20.0, we would understand the scores were wildly dispersed.

By contrast, other measures of relative variability are often far less straightforward to interpret in isolation. Consider the [Coefficient of Variation](#) (CV). The CV is an alternative measure of dispersion that represents the ratio of the standard deviation to the sample mean, effectively normalizing the variability by the magnitude of the mean.

The formula for the CV is:

Coefficient of Variation: s / \bar{x}

In our exam score example, the mean exam score is 81.46. Therefore, the [Coefficient of Variation](#) is $8.46 / 81.46$, resulting in a dimensionless value of **0.104** (or 10.4%). While this ratio is exceptionally useful for comparing the relative spread between two different datasets--such as comparing variability in exam scores versus variability in homework scores--interpreting "0.104" by itself as a measure of typical deviation is not intuitive. It requires knowledge of the mean and surrounding context, unlike the standard deviation of 8.46 points, which stands alone as a clear measure of magnitude.

Disadvantage 1: Extreme Sensitivity to the Presence of Outliers

The single most significant drawback of using the standard deviation is its vulnerability to being **severely affected by outliers**. This weakness is a direct consequence of the algebraic structure of its calculation. As noted earlier, the formula requires squaring the deviations from the mean. When an observation is an extreme [outlier](#)--meaning it lies very far away from the mean--the process of squaring that already large difference amplifies its influence exponentially on the final standard deviation value. This inflation can render the standard deviation misleading, suggesting a much greater overall spread than is truly typical for the majority of the data points.

To demonstrate the dramatic effect of this sensitivity, consider a dataset containing the salaries of 10 employees (in thousands of dollars) at a standard company:

Salaries (in thousands): 44, 48, 57, 68, 70, 71, 73, 79, 84, 94

In this scenario, where salaries are relatively consistent, the sample standard deviation of salaries is about **15.57** thousand dollars. This value accurately reflects that the typical salary deviates by

approximately \$15,570 from the average.

Now, suppose the company hires a single executive whose salary is vastly greater than everyone else's, introducing one powerful [outlier](#) into the distribution:

Salaries (in thousands): 44, 48, 57, 68, 70, 71, 73, 79, 84, 895

By including just this one extreme value (895 thousand dollars), the calculated sample standard deviation skyrockets to approximately **262.47** thousand dollars. This enormous increase completely distorts the measure of spread. A standard deviation of \$262,470 no longer represents the "typical" deviation for the nine lower-paid employees; it is entirely dominated by the single high-value [outlier](#). The metric now provides a highly misleading idea of the true spread of salaries experienced by the majority of the workforce.

Because the standard deviation is so heavily influenced by these extreme values, analysts must exercise caution when employing it in highly skewed or [outlier](#)-heavy distributions. **Note:** When [outliers](#) are known or suspected to be present in a dataset, the [interquartile range](#) often provides a more robust and reliable measure of dispersion precisely because it is unaffected by these extremes in the tails of the distribution.

Selecting the Right Measure: SD, IQR, or CV?

The choice of the most appropriate descriptive statistic, whether it is the standard deviation, the [interquartile range](#), or the [Coefficient of Variation](#), must always be guided by the context of the data and the specific goals of the analysis. The standard deviation excels in datasets that are relatively symmetrical and free of significant [outliers](#), particularly those that approximate a normal distribution. In such ideal cases, its comprehensive utilization of all data points and its straightforward interpretability in the original units make it the superior choice. Furthermore, the standard deviation is the mathematical bedrock of many advanced statistical techniques, including inferential statistics and hypothesis testing, where using all data points is a mathematical necessity.

However, when dealing with real-world data that frequently exhibit extreme skewness or contain powerful outliers (such as economic, financial, or environmental measurements), the standard deviation must be treated with significant skepticism. In these challenging situations, reporting the median alongside the [interquartile range](#) often provides a more accurate and representative picture of the central tendency and dispersion, as these metrics are designed to be resistant to the undue influence of extreme values.

Ultimately, competent data practitioners must understand both the clear advantages--comprehensive data use and intuitive interpretation--alongside the singular, critical disadvantage--

vulnerability to extreme outliers--to choose the most appropriate measure for accurately summarizing the spread of their data and ensuring their conclusions are reliable.

Additional Resources

The following tutorials provide additional information about using the standard deviation in statistics: