

Calculate Modified Z-Scores in Excel

Authored by
Mohammed loot

November 5, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

Mohammed loot (2025). *Calculate Modified Z-Scores in Excel*. PSYCHOLOGICAL STATISTICS. Retrieved from <https://statistics.arabpsychology.com/?p=10389>

In the expansive domain of [statistics](#), the rigorous identification and accurate characterization of unusual data points is paramount for achieving reliable and meaningful analytical conclusions. The ubiquitous standard Z-score, while widely used, suffers from a fundamental vulnerability: its reliance on the mean and standard deviation--both measures that are highly susceptible to distortion by extreme values. A far superior and more reliable methodology for detecting anomalous observations, particularly in datasets prone to skewness, is the calculation of the **modified z-score**. This metric is a cornerstone of [robust statistics](#) because it judiciously employs the [median](#) as its measure of central tendency, rendering it significantly less susceptible to distortion caused by influential [outliers](#).

The mathematical formulation for calculating the **modified z-score** is intentionally engineered to generate a normalized score that quantifies the deviation from the dataset's center, utilizing a specific scale factor to effectively approximate the characteristics of a standard normal distribution. This approach allows analysts to interpret the magnitude of deviation in a familiar, standardized context, even when the underlying data distribution is non-normal or contains extreme values.

The calculation is precisely defined by the following equation:

$$\text{Modified z-score} = 0.6745(x_i - x?) / \text{MAD}$$

A deep understanding of the individual components constituting this formula is absolutely essential for accurate and effective implementation within spreadsheet environments such as [Excel](#):

x_i : Represents the specific single data value under evaluation within the entire dataset.

$x?$: Denotes the **median** of the entire dataset. The median is chosen over the mean because it represents the true middle value and remains stable even when extreme values are present, ensuring the robustness of the score.

MAD: Stands for the [Median Absolute Deviation \(MAD\)](#) of the dataset. Conceptually, the MAD is the median of the absolute differences between each data point and the overall dataset's median, serving as a robust measure of data spread.

The crucial constant multiplier, **0.6745**, is derived from the established statistical principle that, for a perfectly normal distribution, the [MAD](#) is approximately 0.6745 times the standard deviation. This specific scaling factor is incorporated to ensure that the [modified z-score](#) maintains comparability with the traditional standard z-score, thereby facilitating a consistent and intuitive interpretation of deviation magnitude, regardless of the distribution's robustness.

Establishing Data Integrity and Initial Metrics in Excel

The foundation of any sound statistical analysis conducted within a spreadsheet program is the meticulous organization of the raw data. To begin calculating the **modified z-score**, we must first

structure our data efficiently. This involves entering all data points into a single, clearly designated column in [Excel](#). For the purposes of this illustration, we will use a representative dataset comprising 16 values, simulating a typical small-to-medium sample size that analysts frequently encounter.

It is imperative to clearly label the data column, typically Column A, which serves as the primary data source (x_i). This labeling ensures clarity throughout the multi-step calculation process. Before proceeding, accurate data entry is non-negotiable; every one of the 16 values must be double-checked and confirmed correct. Any typographical or entry errors at this preliminary stage will inevitably propagate and compromise the integrity of the final modified z-score calculations.

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Data					
2	6					
3	7					
4	7					
5	8					
6	12					
7	14					
8	15					
9	16					
10	16					
11	19					
12	22					
13	24					
14	26					
15	26					
16	29					
17	46					
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						

Once the data is securely entered, the next immediate priority is to determine the dataset's central tendency using the robust measure required: the **median** ($x?$). The median is the critical element that grants the modified z-score its resilience against extreme values, as it identifies the middle value of the sorted data rather than being influenced by the arithmetic average.

Step 1: Calculate the Robust Measure of Central Tendency (Median)

The **median** ($x?$) is the robust measure of central tendency indispensable for the modified z-score methodology. Unlike the mean, which can be heavily skewed by a single extreme observation, the

[median](#) remains highly resilient, providing a stable representation of the dataset's center. This stability is the key attribute that makes the resulting modified z-score a reliable indicator of deviation.

To efficiently calculate the median in [Excel](#), we utilize the built-in `MEDIAN()` function. This powerful function automatically sorts the dataset and identifies the appropriate middle value, regardless of whether the sample size is even or odd. For organizational ease and future referencing, we highly recommend calculating this value and storing it in a dedicated, easily identifiable cell (e.g., D2). This centralization allows for simplified absolute cell referencing in subsequent, more complex formulas.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1	Data				Median	16	<code>=MEDIAN(A2:A17)</code>	
2	6							
3	7							
4	7							
5	8							
6	12							
7	14							
8	15							
9	16							
10	16							
11	19							
12	22							
13	24							
14	26							
15	26							
16	29							
17	46							
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								

By applying the formula `=MEDIAN(A2:A17)` to our data range, we establish the center of this specific distribution. In this particular example, the calculation yields a median value of **16**. This singular value, representing the dataset's center, will be systematically subtracted from every individual observation in the next phase of the calculation, forming the basis of the absolute deviation.

Step 2: Determine Absolute Deviation and the Median Absolute Deviation (MAD)

The second critical phase in the calculation of the **modified z-score** involves determining the [Median Absolute Deviation \(MAD\)](#), the robust measure of dispersion. This requires two sub-steps: first, calculating the absolute difference of each data point from the median, and second, finding the median of those differences.

The first sub-step, determining the absolute difference ($|x_i - x|$), quantifies how far each observation deviates from the median, ignoring the direction of the difference. In Excel, the `ABS()` function is employed to ensure all calculated differences are positive. We allocate a second column (Column B) to exclusively store these absolute differences. For the first data point (A2), the formula must be constructed using an absolute reference for the median cell. If the median is stored in D2, the formula is `=ABS(A2 - D2)`.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Data	Abs Diff			Median	16	
2	6	10	<code>=ABS(A2-\$D\$2)</code>				
3	7						
4	7						
5	8						
6	12						
7	14						
8	15						
9	16						
10	16						
11	19						
12	22						
13	24						
14	26						
15	26						
16	29						
17	46						
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							

The strict use of an **absolute cell reference** (indicated by the dollar signs, e.g., `D2`) for the median value is vital. This mechanism prevents the reference to the median from shifting when the formula is efficiently copied down the column, thereby ensuring that every single data point is correctly compared against the single, accurate median value (16). Once the initial formula is

entered in cell B2, the calculation is rapidly extended to all remaining rows using Excel's fill handle feature: simply double-click the small cross (+) that appears when hovering over the bottom right corner of cell B2, copying the formula to the entire range.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Data	Abs Diff			Median	16	
2	6	10					
3	7	9					
4	7	9					
5	8	8					
6	12	4					
7	14	2					
8	15	1					
9	16	0					
10	16	0					
11	19	3					
12	22	6					
13	24	8					
14	26	10					
15	26	10					
16	29	13					
17	46	30					
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							

The second sub-step involves calculating the [Median Absolute Deviation \(MAD\)](#) itself. The MAD, which serves as the final divisor in the modified z-score formula, is calculated by finding the median of the newly created list of absolute differences (Column B). This value provides a robust measure of the spread or variability within the data, unaffected by the presence of extreme deviations. We apply the `MEDIAN()` function once more, targeting the range of absolute differences (B2:B17), and store the result in a dedicated cell (e.g., D3).

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1	Data	Abs Diff			Median	16		
2	6	10			MAD	8	=MEDIAN(B2:B17)	
3	7	9						
4	7	9						
5	8	8						
6	12	4						
7	14	2						
8	15	1						
9	16	0						
10	16	0						
11	19	3						
12	22	6						
13	24	8						
14	26	10						
15	26	10						
16	29	13						
17	46	30						
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								

Execution of the formula `=MEDIAN(B2:B17)` on our sample data yields a **MAD** of **8**. This value successfully quantifies the typical distance of an observation from the center of the distribution, providing the necessary robust measure of dispersion required for the final calculation of the modified z-score.

Step 3: Computing and Interpreting the Final Modified Z-Scores

Having successfully derived both the dataset median ($x?$) and the Median Absolute Deviation (MAD), we now possess all the requisite components to calculate the **modified z-score** for every individual observation. This culmination involves applying the complete formula, integrating the robust measures of center and spread, along with the scaling constant:

$$\text{Modified z-score} = 0.6745(x_i - x?) / \text{MAD}$$

A new column (Column C) is designated for storing the final modified z-scores. The [Excel](#) formula must precisely incorporate the constant 0.6745 and utilize absolute cell references for both the calculated median ($x?$ in D2) and the MAD (in D3). This ensures that these critical parameters remain fixed as the formula is copied down the column.

The formula for the first data value (in cell C2) is therefore structured as follows, substituting the cell references for the variables:

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Data	Abs Diff	Modified z-score		Median	16	
2	6	10	=0.6745*(A2-\$F\$1)/\$F\$2		MAD	8	
3	7	9					
4	7	9					
5	8	8					
6	12	4					
7	14	2					
8	15	1					
9	16	0					
10	16	0					
11	19	3					
12	22	6					
13	24	8					
14	26	10					
15	26	10					
16	29	13					
17	46	30					
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							

After entering the formula in cell C2, the fill handle feature is used again to instantly copy this calculation to all remaining cells in the column (C3:C17). The resulting scores in Column C represent the standardized, robust measure of deviation for each observation within the dataset.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Data	Abs Diff	Modified z-score		Median	16	
2	6	10	-0.843125		MAD	8	
3	7	9	-0.7588125				
4	7	9	-0.7588125				
5	8	8	-0.6745				
6	12	4	-0.33725				
7	14	2	-0.168625				
8	15	1	-0.0843125				
9	16	0	0				
10	16	0	0				
11	19	3	0.2529375				
12	22	6	0.505875				
13	24	8	0.6745				
14	26	10	0.843125				
15	26	10	0.843125				
16	29	13	1.0960625				
17	46	30	2.529375				
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

The standard convention in [robust statistics](#) is to assess whether any resulting scores exceed the standardized robust threshold of $|3.5|$. By reviewing the calculated scores in Column C, we observe that no value in this specific dataset has a [modified z-score](#) less than -3.5 or greater than 3.5 . Consequently, based on this robust method, we would not designate any value in this sample as a [potential outlier](#) requiring further attention.

Guidelines for Interpreting and Managing Potential Outliers

The primary and most significant benefit of utilizing the [modified z-score](#) is its inherent resistance to data distortion, a characteristic that standard z-scores lack. When an observation yields a modified z-score exceeding the $|3.5|$ threshold, it provides strong evidence that this point is statistically and substantially different from the core distribution of the data. Such points necessitate meticulous scrutiny before any subsequent formal analysis proceeds.

Properly managing these flagged observations is an indispensable component of sound statistical practice. If the calculation successfully identifies one or more potential outliers, analysts are presented with several established methodological options. The decision regarding the best course of action is generally contingent upon the context, the source, and the potential impact of the

outlier on the research question. Critically, ignoring outliers without any investigation can severely compromise results, particularly when employing procedures sensitive to non-normality or extreme variance.

If the modified z-score calculation confirms the presence of an outlier in your dataset, consider the following structured options for management:

Verify the Source for Data Entry Errors. The initial and most crucial step is rigorous validation. Many extreme values are not true statistical anomalies but rather the product of simple clerical mistakes, such as a typographical error, a misplaced decimal point, or an equipment malfunction during data collection. If an outlier is detected, the first priority is to confirm that the value was recorded and entered correctly.

Assign a New Imputed Value. If the outlier is confirmed to be the result of a data entry error, yet the true value cannot be reliably recovered, a method of imputation may be employed. A common and robust technique is to assign a new imputed value, often the **median** of the dataset. This strategy minimizes the adverse impact on the distribution's central tendency and prevents the loss of an entire data record.

Remove the Outlier. If the value is determined to be a genuine, true outlier (not an error), and its presence significantly compromises the overall analytical findings, removing it may be justified. This decision should always be undertaken with great caution and transparency. Ensure that the final report or analysis explicitly mentions the removal of the outlier, providing detailed documentation of the detection method used (i.e., the modified z-score method) and the rationale for its exclusion.

Further Exploration into Robust Statistical Methods

For individuals seeking to deepen their expertise in the principles of [robust statistics](#) and explore sophisticated alternative methods for anomaly detection, consulting authoritative statistical textbooks and official methodological documentation is strongly recommended. Mastery of these robust techniques is essential for accurate data interpretation, especially in complex real-world scenarios characterized by data noise, asymmetry, or skewed distributions.

Further exploration into the unique statistical properties of the [Median Absolute Deviation \(MAD\)](#) and its diverse applications across various fields of applied [statistics](#) will significantly enhance an analyst's understanding of data variability beyond the limitations of traditional measures like the standard deviation, leading to more defensible and reliable conclusions.