Understanding the Correlation Coefficient: A Derivation from R-squared

Authored by

Mohammed looti

November 14, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

Mohammed looti (2025). *Understanding the Correlation Coefficient: A Derivation from R-squared*. PSYCHOLOGICAL STATISTICS. Retrieved from https://statistics.arabpsychology.com/?p=1292

The Essential Link Between R-Squared and the Correlation Coefficient

Quantifying the strength and intrinsic nature of the linear connection between two variables forms a fundamental pillar of rigorous statistical analysis. In this domain, two metrics stand out for their widespread use and importance: the R-squared (R^2) value and the correlation coefficient (r). For statistical models confined to a simple linear regression context--that is, models involving only one independent and one dependent variable--these two powerful statistics are bound by a direct, straightforward mathematical relationship. This connection permits the calculation of the correlation coefficient directly from the R-squared value through a simple square root operation, providing an efficient pathway to quantify both the intensity and the specific direction of the linear association between the variables under study.

The core algebraic transformation required to move from the measure of explained variance to the measure of linear association is elegantly defined as follows:

Correlation coefficient (r) = √R2 of simple linear regression model

However, it is absolutely vital to recognize a critical distinction regarding the resulting sign. While the R-squared statistic is mathematically constrained to be a non-negative value (ranging exclusively from 0 to 1, as variance explained can never be negative), the resulting correlation coefficient (r) can and must be either positive or negative. The correct sign is determined unequivocally by examining the slope coefficient derived from the underlying regression model. A positive slope confirms a positive correlation, signaling that the variables tend to increase or decrease together in concert. Conversely, a negative slope signifies a negative, or inverse, correlation, meaning that as one variable increases, the other systematically tends to decrease.

This detailed guide will provide a practical framework for applying this principle. We will walk step-by-step through the process of successfully deriving the correlation coefficient from the R-squared value, utilizing clear, illustrative examples that showcase both positive and negative correlation scenarios. By the conclusion of this exploration, readers will possess a comprehensive and actionable understanding of this essential statistical relationship and the necessary steps for its correct interpretation.

Defining the Core Metrics: Pearson's R, R^2, and Simple Regression

Before engaging in computational exercises, it is imperative to establish clear definitions for the statistical properties of the metrics involved. The correlation coefficient, frequently known as Pearson's r, functions as a standardized metric that rigorously quantifies both the strength and the specific direction of the linear relationship between any two quantitative variables. Its value is universally bounded, ranging strictly from -1.0 to +1.0. An r value of +1 signifies a perfect positive linear relationship, indicating that all data points fall exactly on a line with a positive slope.

Conversely, a value of -1 denotes a perfect negative linear relationship, and a value of 0 implies the complete absence of any measurable linear correlation between the variables.

In contrast, R-squared (R^2), officially termed the **coefficient of determination**, quantifies the proportion of the total variance observed in the dependent (or response) variable that can be systematically predicted or statistically explained by the independent variable(s) within the established regression model. Essentially, R^2 answers a crucial question: What percentage of the variability in the outcome data is successfully captured and accounted for by our model? R^2 values range from 0 to 1.0 (or 0% to 100%), where higher values are conventionally interpreted as a better model fit, suggesting the model explains a substantial portion of the observed variability.

The necessary prerequisite context for this direct derivation is the simple linear regression model. This statistical technique is explicitly designed to summarize the linear relationship existing between two continuous variables: a single response variable (Y) and a single predictor variable (X). The model's primary objective is to determine the mathematical definition of the "line of best fit," which is represented by the equation $Y = a + bX$, where 'a' is the Y-intercept and 'b' is the slope coefficient.

The slope coefficient ('b') holds immense importance because its sign is the definitive factor dictating the direction of the linear relationship. A positive slope means that as the predictor variable increases, the response variable is expected to increase proportionally. Conversely, a negative slope indicates an inverse relationship: as the predictor variable increases, the response variable tends to decrease. It is the sign of this coefficient that must be extracted and correctly applied to the correlation coefficient derived from the R-squared value.

Case Study 1: Calculating Correlation with a Positive Slope

For our initial practical example, let us investigate a relationship frequently studied in educational research: the association between the number of **hours studied** and the resulting **exam score**. Both logical reasoning and substantial prior research strongly suggest that increased study time typically correlates with improved academic performance, implying the existence of a positive linear relationship. To quantify this association, we construct a simple linear regression model, designating "hours studied" as our primary predictor variable and "exam score" as the response variable.

Upon executing the regression analysis on a collected sample dataset, we obtain the following crucial statistical outputs:

Fitted Regression Equation: Exam Score = 65.55 + 2.78(Hours Studied)
R-Squared (R^2) of Regression Model: 0.7845

The R-squared value of 0.7845 is interpreted as follows: approximately 78.45% of the total variation observed in the exam scores can be statistically accounted for or explained by the number of hours studied. This high value suggests that study time is a robust and highly influential predictor of performance. Our subsequent step is to mathematically determine the correlation coefficient by taking the square root of this value:

Correlation coefficient = $\sqrt{R2}$ = $\sqrt{0.7845}$ = **0.8857**

The final, indispensable step is to inspect the sign of the relationship. We must refer back to the fitted regression equation. The slope coefficient for the "Hours Studied" variable is explicitly positive, at +2.78. This positive sign confirms a direct relationship, meaning that as study hours increase, exam scores reliably tend to increase. Consequently, the correlation coefficient must also be positive. The final correlation coefficient between hours studied and exam scores is therefore determined to be **+0.8857**, indicating a strong, direct linear association.

Case Study 2: Calculating Correlation with a Negative Slope

To achieve a comprehensive understanding of how the slope's sign dictates directionality, we now examine a scenario illustrating an inverse relationship. Let us consider the relationship between a person's **age** and their **maximum bench press** capacity. It is a well-documented biological reality that maximum physical strength generally declines as age advances past peak developmental years. This phenomenon strongly suggests a potential negative linear relationship. We construct a simple linear regression model, using "Age" as the predictor variable and "Max bench press" as the response variable.

The resulting regression analysis yields the following crucial statistical outputs:

Fitted Regression Equation: Max bench press = 240.11 - 1.24(Age)
R-Squared (R^2) of Regression Model: 0.4773

The R-squared value of 0.4773 suggests that 47.73% of the variation observed in maximum bench press capacity can be statistically explained by the variable of age, indicating a moderate yet significant level of influence. To calculate the correlation coefficient between these two variables, we proceed by taking the square root of the R-squared value:

Correlation coefficient = $\sqrt{R2}$ = $\sqrt{0.4773}$ = **0.6909**

The absolute value of the correlation is 0.6909. However, the final step demands verification of the sign. By inspecting the fitted regression equation, we clearly observe that the slope coefficient for the "Age" variable is explicitly negative, at -1.24. This negative sign confirms an inverse relationship: as age increases, the maximum bench press capacity tends to decrease. Therefore, the correlation coefficient must be negative to accurately reflect this inverse association. The final correlation coefficient between age and max bench press is determined to be **-0.6909**, signifying a moderate, inverse linear relationship.

The Critical Role of the Slope's Sign in Determining Directionality

It is impossible to overstate the importance of the slope coefficient's sign when performing the derivation of the correlation coefficient from R-squared. By its fundamental mathematical definition, the R-squared value is inherently non-negative, ranging only from 0 to 1. This characteristic is unavoidable because R-squared quantifies the proportion of explained variance, a measure that cannot logically result in a negative value.

When a standard square root operation is performed on any non-negative number, the result is mathematically defined as the principal, or positive, square root. For instance, the square root of 0.64 is conventionally 0.8, never -0.8 in this context. If we were to calculate the correlation solely based on the R-squared value without consulting the regression slope, we would unequivocally lose the vital directional information--the knowledge of whether the variables move together (positive) or in opposition (negative).

A positive slope coefficient within a simple linear regression model clearly dictates a direct relationship: as the independent variable increases, the dependent variable also increases. This direct movement must be reflected by assigning a positive sign to the correlation coefficient. Conversely, a negative slope explicitly indicates an inverse relationship: as the independent variable increases, the dependent variable decreases. This inverse motion is precisely captured by applying a negative sign to the correlation coefficient. Therefore, consulting the slope's sign is not an optional check; it is the definitive and essential final step required to correctly assign the direction to the calculated correlation and ensure a meaningful statistical interpretation of the relationship.

Resources for Advanced Statistical Exploration

To further solidify your understanding of linear correlation, R-squared, and their practical applications in statistical modeling, the following resources and related topics are highly recommended for continued study and exploration:

Explore advanced tutorials focusing on interpreting different forms of correlation coefficients, such as Spearman's rho or Kendall's tau, which are used when the relationship between variables is monotonic but not strictly linear.
Review detailed documentation regarding the core assumptions, limitations, and appropriate contexts for utilizing simple linear regression models in academic and professional settings, paying close attention to assumptions like homoscedasticity and linearity.
Investigate the nuances of R-squared in more complex statistical environments, particularly

focusing on the concept of **adjusted R-squared**, which is essential for accurately evaluating multiple regression models containing numerous predictor variables.