Interpret Sig. (2-Tailed) Values in SPSS

Authored by

Mohammed looti

October 29, 2025

Understanding the Sig. (2-tailed) Value in SPSS

When conducting rigorous quantitative research, the interpretation of statistical software outputs is paramount to drawing defensible conclusions. In **SPSS** (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences), a figure that frequently takes center stage is the **Sig. (2-tailed)** value. This metric is fundamentally the **p-value** derived specifically for a two-tailed **statistical test**. Mastering the correct interpretation of this single number is the difference between making a valid scientific claim and misrepresenting data findings. This comprehensive guide aims to unpack the Sig. (2-tailed) value, detail its statistical foundation, and provide step-by-step instructions for its application across common analytical scenarios.

The core function of the **p-value** is to quantify the evidence against the **null hypothesis** (H0). Conceptually, it represents the probability of observing the sampled data, or data even more extreme, assuming that the null hypothesis is entirely true. The "2-tailed" aspect signifies that the test is non-directional; it assesses whether the observed effect or difference deviates significantly from the expected value in either direction--positive or negative. This approach is standard practice in many fields where researchers do not possess prior theoretical justification to predict the direction of the effect, thereby offering a more conservative and robust test.

The decision to accept or reject the **null hypothesis** hinges on a comparison between the calculated **Sig. (2-tailed)** value and a predefined **significance level**, denoted as alpha (α). Researchers typically set alpha at 0.05, though 0.01 or 0.10 are also used depending on the field and the required stringency. If the p-value is equal to or smaller than alpha ($p \leq \alpha$), the results are deemed statistically significant, providing strong enough evidence to reject H0. Conversely, a p-value larger than alpha ($p > \alpha$) indicates insufficient evidence to reject H0. Understanding this simple yet critical comparison rule is the gateway to accurate statistical reporting, particularly when using **SPSS**, which standardizes this output format across numerous procedures.

Essential Pillars of Hypothesis Testing

Before analyzing specific **SPSS** outputs, it is crucial to solidify the foundational concepts of **hypothesis testing**, which underpin the interpretation of the Sig. (2-tailed) value. Every formal test begins with the construction of two mutually exclusive statements: the **null hypothesis** (H0), which posits that there is no effect, no difference, or no relationship; and the **alternative hypothesis** (HA or H1), which proposes the existence of the effect, difference, or relationship being studied. The entire statistical process is designed to challenge H0 using sample evidence.

The **p-value**, as generated by the **SPSS** software, is the probability tool that measures the compatibility of the data with the null hypothesis. A small p-value suggests a strong contradiction, implying that if the null hypothesis were true, obtaining the observed data would be an extremely rare event. This rarity provides the statistical justification needed to discard the null hypothesis. The decision rule is thus a formal process of determining whether the data falls into a region of "unlikely" outcomes, defined by the selected alpha level.

The **significance level** (alpha, α) serves as the critical demarcation line for this decision. By setting α, the researcher controls the maximum acceptable risk of committing a **Type I error**--the error of mistakenly rejecting a true null hypothesis. For example, setting $\alpha = 0.05$ means the researcher is willing to accept a 5% chance of incorrectly concluding that a significant effect exists when, in reality, it does not. Therefore, when the **Sig. (2-tailed)** value is 0.04, it means that under the assumption of H0 being true, there is only a 4% chance of observing the data, which is less than the 5% risk we accepted, leading to the rejection of H0.

Interpreting the One-Sample t-test Output

A common application of the Sig. (2-tailed) output involves the **one-sample t-test**, a procedure used to compare the **mean** of a single sample against a known constant or hypothesized population value (test value). This test is particularly useful for establishing whether a specific group differs substantially from a known benchmark. The output generated by **SPSS** for this test clearly separates the calculated test statistic (t) and the corresponding **Sig. (2-tailed)** value.

Let us revisit the botanist example where the researcher tests if the mean height of a plant species (μ) differs from 15 inches. The hypothesized test value is 15. The researcher's goal is non-directional, meaning she is interested if the height is either greater than or less than 15 inches, justifying the use of the 2-tailed test.

Hypotheses:

H0: $\mu = 15$ (The true **population mean** height is 15 inches.)
HA: μ ? 15 (The true **population mean** height is not 15 inches.)

After running the analysis in **SPSS** based on a sample size (n) of 12, the resulting output table is examined:

T-Test

One-Sample Statistics

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
height	12	14.3333	1.37069	.39568

One-Sample Test

	Test Value = 15					
					95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Lower	Upper
height	-1.685	11	.120	-.66667	-1.5376	.2042

From this table, we identify the crucial metrics for interpretation. The test statistic (t) is -1.685, and the associated **degrees of freedom** (df) are 11 (n-1). Most importantly, the **Sig. (2-tailed)** value is reported as **0.120**. Using the common alpha level of 0.05, we proceed with the comparison: Is $p \leq \alpha$? Is $0.120 \leq 0.05$? The answer is no. Since the p-value (0.120) is greater than the **significance level** (0.05), we conclude that there is insufficient statistical evidence to reject the **null hypothesis**. The botanist must conclude that, based on her sample, the height of the plant species is not statistically different from 15 inches. The observed sample difference is likely attributable to random sampling error.

Interpreting the Two-Sample t-test Output

The independent samples **t-test** is a cornerstone of comparative analysis, designed to evaluate whether the means of two distinct and independent **populations** are significantly different from one another. This test is vital in experimental research, where one might compare the outcomes of a treatment group versus a control group, or in observational studies comparing two naturally occurring demographic segments.

In the fuel additive study, researchers compared the average miles per gallon (mpg) of 12 cars receiving the additive (Group 1) and 12 cars receiving no additive (Group 2). This scenario perfectly mandates a two-tailed test, as the researchers are simply asking if the means are different, without specifying whether the additive will increase or decrease mpg.

Hypotheses:

H0: $\mu1 = \mu2$ (There is no difference in true average mpg between the two groups.)
H1: $\mu1$? $\mu2$ (There is a difference in true average mpg between the two groups.)

After executing the independent samples **t-test** in **SPSS**, the output provides results for both the assumption of equal variances (Levene's Test output must be assessed first, though we focus here only on the t-test results) and unequal variances. Assuming, for simplicity, that variances were equal, we look at the corresponding row for interpretation:

T-Test

Group Statistics

	group	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
mpg	.00	12	21.0000	2.73030	.78817
	1.00	12	22.7500	3.25087	.93845

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
									95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	Lower	Upper
mpg	Equal variances assumed	.034	.855	-1.428	22	.167	-1.75000	1.22552	-4.29157	.79157
	Equal variances not assumed			-1.428	21.362	.168	-1.75000	1.22552	-4.29597	.79597

Focusing on the output row where equal variances are assumed, the calculated t-statistic is -1.428, and the **degrees of freedom** are 22 (n1 + n2 - 2). The critical piece of information is the **Sig. (2-tailed)** value, which is **0.167**. This value signifies the probability of observing a difference in sample means as large as or larger than the one observed, assuming the fuel additive has absolutely no effect (H0 is true).

Applying the decision rule with $\alpha = 0.05$, we compare 0.167 to 0.05. Since 0.167 is significantly greater than 0.05 ($p > \alpha$), we once again fail to reject the **null hypothesis**. The interpretation is clear: the observed difference in mean mpg between the treatment and control groups is not statistically significant at the 0.05 level. The researchers must conclude that the fuel additive did not demonstrate a measurable effect on average mpg across the two **populations** based on this **statistical test**.

Practical Decision-Making and Conclusion

The interpretation of the **Sig. (2-tailed)** output is a systematic process rooted in probabilistic inference. For every statistical procedure executed in **SPSS** that involves testing a mean difference or correlation (such as t-tests, ANOVA, or correlation analysis), the fundamental decision mechanism remains constant: compare p to α.

It is vital for researchers to grasp the distinction between statistical significance and practical significance. A result might be statistically significant ($p \leq 0.05$), but if the magnitude of the effect is minuscule, it may hold little practical importance. Conversely, failing to reject the **null hypothesis** (p > 0.05) does not prove that the null hypothesis is true; it merely indicates that the existing data set does not provide strong enough evidence to conclude otherwise, possibly due to a small sample size or high variability.

In summary, the **p-value** in the Sig. (2-tailed) column of your **SPSS** output serves as the ultimate arbiter in two-tailed **statistical tests**. By diligently comparing this probability against your chosen **significance level** (alpha), you ensure that your research conclusions are robust and statistically justified. Always report both the p-value and the decision (reject or fail to reject H0) alongside the context of your research question.

Additional Resources for SPSS Tests

To enhance your proficiency in statistical analysis and interpretation, particularly concerning the deployment of various **t-test** procedures and other inferential methods using **SPSS**, we recommend exploring further documentation. These resources are designed to provide practical guidance and deepen your understanding of the underlying mathematical models.

For comprehensive step-by-step tutorials on performing specific analyses:

Detailed execution of the Independent Samples T-Test.
Guidelines for conducting and reporting the One-Sample T-Test.
Advanced techniques for assessing assumptions in parametric **statistical tests**.