

Learn How to Normalize Data Between -1 and 1 for Machine Learning

Authored by
Mohammed looti

February 12, 2026

RECOMMENDED CITATION

Mohammed looti (2026). *Learn How to Normalize Data Between -1 and 1 for Machine Learning*. PSYCHOLOGICAL STATISTICS. Retrieved from <https://statistics.arabpsychology.com/?p=3061>

Understanding Data Normalization to the Range of -1 to 1

In the competitive landscape of [data science](#) and [machine learning](#), the quality of your input data dictates the success of your models. Effective data preparation is a non-negotiable step before training predictive models or conducting rigorous [statistical analysis](#). Among the most crucial preprocessing techniques is [data normalization](#), often interchangeable with [feature scaling](#). This process systematically transforms numerical features into a predefined, uniform range. The objective of this article is to dissect a specialized method of scaling data that constrains all values to fall precisely within the symmetric range of **-1 and 1**.

Why is the range so significant? Normalizing data to this symmetric interval is highly advantageous, especially when feeding inputs into sophisticated algorithms like [neural networks](#). These models often perform optimally when input values are centered around zero or reside within a small, bounded area. By using this scaling method, we effectively prevent any single feature from unfairly dominating the learning process solely due to its larger magnitude. This ensures that all [variables](#) contribute equally and proportionally to the model's objective function, leading to more stable and reliable training.

The technique detailed here is a powerful adaptation of standard [Min-Max scaling](#). While standard Min-Max maps data to , this variant adjusts the calculation to precisely map the original data points to the interval. It is a straightforward yet highly effective method, providing a clear and mathematically robust transformation of raw data that is essential for many deep learning architectures.

The Min-Max Normalization Formula for the Range

To achieve the precise scaling of values within a [dataset](#)--guaranteeing that they fall exclusively between **-1 and 1**--a modified Min-Max formula is employed. This mathematical expression is the cornerstone of this normalization approach, ensuring accurate boundary constraints for the transformed features.

The formula used for this specific type of [feature scaling](#) is:

$$z_i = 2 * ((x_i - x_{min}) / (x_{max} - x_{min})) - 1$$

Understanding the role of each variable is crucial for implementing this normalization correctly. The formula systematically transforms each original data point (x_i) into its normalized equivalent (z_i). We must first establish the boundaries defined by the original feature's distribution:

z_i : This represents the i th **normalized value**. It is the output of the scaling operation, constrained to the range .

x_i : This denotes the i th **original value** from your initial feature column that is currently being normalized.

x_{min} : This is the **absolute minimum value** found across the entire original dataset column. It defines the lower extreme of the transformation.

x_{max} : This is the **absolute maximum value** present in the entire original dataset column. It defines the upper extreme of the transformation.

The transformation logic is straightforward. Initially, the core calculation $(x_i - x_{min}) / (x_{max} - x_{min})$ scales the data linearly into the standard range. By subsequently multiplying this result by 2, the range is expanded to $[-1, 1]$. Finally, subtracting 1 shifts the entire distribution symmetrically, resulting in the desired normalized interval of $[-1, 1]$.

Practical Application: A Step-by-Step Example

To vividly illustrate the mechanics of this normalization formula, let us apply it to a small, tangible [dataset](#). Walking through the calculations for individual values provides a clear demonstration of how the transformation successfully maps raw data points to the new range.

Data Values
13
16
19
22
23
38
47
56
58
63
65
70
71

First, we must establish the scaling parameters from the raw data presented above. Upon careful examination, we identify the extreme values that anchor the transformation: the **minimum value (x_{min}) is 13**, and the **maximum value (x_{max}) is 71**. These two critical constants will be used consistently throughout the normalization process, defining the fixed boundaries of our original feature space.

Now, we apply the formula iteratively to the first three data points to observe their transformation:

Normalizing the first value (xi = 13):

Since 13 is the minimum value (xmin), its normalized counterpart must be **-1**.

$$z_i = 2 * ((13 - 13) / (71 - 13)) - 1 = 2 * (0 / 58) - 1 = 2 * 0 - 1 = -1$$

Normalizing the second value (xi = 16):

The value 16 is slightly above the minimum, so its normalized result will be close to -1.

$$z_i = 2 * ((16 - 13) / (71 - 13)) - 1 = 2 * (3 / 58) - 1 \approx 2 * 0.05172 - 1 \approx 0.10344 - 1 = -0.897$$

Normalizing the third value (xi = 19):

Applying the consistent formula to the next data point, 19, maintains the relative position within the scale.

$$z_i = 2 * ((19 - 13) / (71 - 13)) - 1 = 2 * (6 / 58) - 1 \approx 2 * 0.10344 - 1 \approx 0.20688 - 1 = -0.793$$

By systematically applying this exact formula to every single observation in the original feature column, we successfully transform the entire dataset into its normalized equivalent. The resulting column will have all its values constrained perfectly between **-1 and 1**.

Data Values	Normalized
13	-1
16	-0.897
19	-0.793
22	-0.690
23	-0.655
38	-0.138
47	0.172
56	0.483
58	0.552
63	0.724
65	0.793
70	0.966
71	1

The final resulting table clearly illustrates that every single entry within the newly normalized

[dataset](#) now resides strictly within the specified range of **-1 and 1**. This outcome confirms the efficacy and successful application of this specific [feature scaling](#) methodology.

Key Characteristics and Limitations of Normalization

This specialized method of [data normalization](#) inherently guarantees specific predictable properties for the transformed dataset. Understanding these characteristics is vital for assessing its suitability for various machine learning tasks and interpreting the resultant data distribution.

The core guarantees of this transformation are highly deterministic:

The **minimum value** (x_{min}) detected in the original dataset will always be transformed precisely to **-1** in the normalized dataset, providing a hard lower limit.

The **maximum value** (x_{max}) from the original dataset will consistently be scaled to **1** in the normalized dataset, establishing a fixed upper bound.

All intermediate values within the original dataset maintain their relative proportional distance and will fall symmetrically **between -1 and 1** after the transformation is complete.

However, it is critical to acknowledge the primary limitation of any Min-Max based scaling technique: its high sensitivity to [outliers](#). If the original dataset contains extreme values, the normalization process will be heavily skewed. These outliers determine the fixed boundaries (x_{min} and x_{max}), potentially compressing the vast majority of the "normal" data points into a very narrow range close to 0 after normalization, thereby reducing the informational variability available to the model.

When to Employ -1 to 1 Normalization in Machine Learning

[Data preprocessing](#), particularly scaling, is a fundamental requirement for numerous [machine learning](#) models and [statistical analysis](#) methods. The central objective is to ensure that all input [variables](#) contribute equally to the model's learning process. Failing to normalize can lead to scenarios where features with naturally larger numerical ranges disproportionately influence the model's weights and results, skewing predictions.

This method is indispensable when dealing with variables measured on drastically different scales or units. Consider a feature representing "Annual Income" (ranging from 30,000 to 500,000) versus a feature representing "Years of Experience" (ranging from 1 to 40). Without normalization, the income feature's raw magnitude would overwhelm the experience feature. Scaling both to ensures they are treated equally by the model, allowing the algorithm to learn the true underlying relationships without numerical bias.

Algorithms that rely heavily on measuring distances, such as K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) or

Support Vector Machines (SVMs), are extremely sensitive to input scale and benefit greatly from this technique. Moreover, optimization algorithms like [gradient descent](#), which are essential for training complex [neural networks](#), converge much faster when inputs are scaled symmetrically around zero. Specifically, for deep learning, scaling inputs to ensures that activation functions, such as the [hyperbolic tangent \(tanh\)](#), operate within their steepest, most effective range, promoting efficient weight updates during backpropagation.

Exploring Alternative Normalization Methods

While scaling data to the **-1 to 1** range is robust and effective for many applications, especially those involving deep learning, data scientists must recognize that [data normalization](#) is a diverse field encompassing multiple strategies. The optimal choice of technique depends entirely on the inherent characteristics of the [dataset](#) and the mathematical requirements of the selected algorithm.

A prevalent alternative is the classic Min-Max scaling, which confines data to the range. This is often preferred when the model requires strictly non-negative inputs or when the data naturally possesses a non-negative interpretation. Furthermore, scaling data to a range might be employed simply for enhanced interpretability, allowing features to be understood as percentages or scores.

Beyond simple range scaling, other highly popular techniques exist. **Z-score standardization** (or Standard Scaler) transforms data to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1. This method is crucial when the algorithm assumes a Gaussian distribution. Alternatively, **robust scaling** uses quartiles (interquartile range) instead of minimums and maximums, providing a far more effective way to mitigate the distorting effects of severe [outliers](#) present in the raw data. Selecting the correct scaling method is a critical decision in the overall data preparation workflow.

For those interested in exploring these alternative normalization methods and delving deeper into the critical field of [data preprocessing](#), the following tutorials offer further insights: