Understanding the One Sample T-Test: A Step-by-Step Guide with Examples

Authored by

Mohammed looti

November 9, 2025

The **One Sample T Test** is an essential statistical procedure used primarily to compare a sample mean to a known or hypothesized value derived from a population. This test is crucial for determining whether the true, unknown population mean (μ) of a data set significantly differs from a specified benchmark. It is a cornerstone of introductory Hypothesis Testing, particularly necessary when the standard deviation of the population is unknown, forcing reliance on the sample standard deviation.

Understanding the nuances of the t-test requires exploring its variations. To provide a comprehensive understanding of its practical application, we will walk through detailed, step-by-step examples demonstrating how to execute all three forms of the one-sample t-test, covering both non-directional and directional claims:

The **Two-tailed** t-test: Used to check for any significant difference (inequality) from the hypothesized mean.
The **Right-tailed** t-test: Used to test if the sample mean is statistically greater than the hypothesized value.
The **Left-tailed** t-test: Used to test if the sample mean is statistically less than the hypothesized value.

Let us now delve into these methodological examples.

Example 1: Analyzing Two-Tailed Differences (Turtle Weight Study)

For our first scenario, imagine researchers studying the average weight of a specific species of turtle. The established average weight is 310 pounds, but we want to statistically verify if the true population mean weight (μ) of the current population deviates significantly from this value. Because we are interested in whether the weight is heavier or lighter--a deviation in either direction--this necessitates the use of a **two-tailed test**.

We set the standard significance level (α) at 0.05, meaning we are willing to accept a 5% chance of incorrectly rejecting the null hypothesis. The initial step involves collecting and summarizing the necessary sample data:

Step 1: Gather the Sample Data.

A random sample of turtles was collected, yielding the following descriptive statistics:

Sample size (n): **40**
Sample mean weight (x): **300** pounds
Sample standard deviation (s): **18.5**

Step 2: Define the Hypotheses.

The two-tailed structure demands that the **null hypothesis** (H0) and the alternative hypothesis (H1) account for the possibility of deviation in both positive and negative directions relative to the hypothesized value (μ? = 310):

H0: μ = 310 (The population mean weight is exactly equal to the hypothesized value.)
H1: μ ? 310 (The population mean weight is not equal to 310 pounds.)

Step 3: Calculate the Test Statistic (t).

The central component of the t-test is the calculation of the **test statistic** (t), which quantifies the distance between the sample mean and the hypothesized mean in terms of standard errors. We apply the formula $t = (x - \mu) / (s/\sqrt{n})$:

t = (300 - 310) / (18.5 / √40) = **-3.4187**

Step 4: Determine the P-Value.

Next, we determine the p-value, which represents the probability of obtaining a test statistic as extreme as -3.4187 if the null hypothesis were true. We calculate this value using the t-distribution with the appropriate degrees of freedom (df = n - 1 = 39). The resulting two-tailed p-value is **0.00149**.

Step 5: Formulate the Conclusion.

We compare the calculated p-value (0.00149) against the significance level (α = 0.05). Since 0.00149 is significantly less than 0.05, we must **reject the null hypothesis** (H0). Based on this strong statistical evidence, we conclude that the true mean weight of this turtle species is statistically different from 310 pounds.

Example 2: Testing for Improvement Using a Right-Tailed Test (Exam Scores)

In this example, we shift our focus to educational performance. A standardized college entrance exam historically reports a mean score of 82. Following the implementation of a new preparatory curriculum, educators hypothesize that performance has improved, meaning the current mean score is now statistically **greater than** 82. This specific directional suspicion requires a **right-tailed one-sample t-test**, concentrating our rejection region solely on the upper end of the distribution.

We proceed with the test, maintaining a conventional significance level (α) of 0.05 to evaluate the evidence supporting the claimed improvement:

Step 1: Gather the Sample Data.

A recent random collection of exam scores provides the following statistical summary:

Sample size (n): **60**
Sample mean (x): **84**
Sample standard deviation (s): **8.1**

Step 2: Define the Hypotheses.

The structure of the right-tailed test dictates that the alternative hypothesis (H1) captures the research claim (improvement), while the **null hypothesis** (H0) covers all other possibilities:

H0: $\mu \leq 82$ (The population mean score is less than or equal to 82.)
H1: $\mu > 82$ (The population mean score is greater than 82.)

Step 3: Calculate the Test Statistic (t).

We compute the **t-statistic** using the collected sample data and the historical population mean ($\mu = 82$):

t = $(x - \mu) / (s / \sqrt{n}) = (84 - 82) / (8.1 / \sqrt{60}) =$ **1.9125**

Step 4: Determine the P-Value.

We consult the t-distribution table or software for the right-tailed test. The p-value corresponding to $t = 1.9125$ and degrees of freedom ($df = 59$) is calculated to be **0.0303**.

Step 5: Formulate the Conclusion.

We compare the resultant p-value (0.0303) to the critical alpha value ($\alpha = 0.05$). Since $0.0303 < 0.05$, we possess sufficient statistical evidence to **reject the null hypothesis**. This confirms that there is sufficient statistical evidence supporting the claim that the mean exam score on this particular entrance exam is now greater than 82.

Example 3: Identifying Decline with a Left-Tailed Test (Plant Height)

Our final illustrative example focuses on agricultural research. Historically, a specific plant species exhibits a mean height of 10 inches. However, scientists suspect that recent, unfavorable changes in soil composition have negatively impacted growth, leading to a current population mean height (μ) that is **less than** 10 inches. To statistically confirm this suspected decline, we must employ a **left-tailed one-sample t-test**.

We set the significance level at $\alpha = 0.05$ for this test. As with the previous examples, the process begins by aggregating data from the study:

Step 1: Gather the Sample Data.

Measurements from a random sample of plants yield the following key descriptive statistics:

Sample size (n): **25**
Sample mean (x): **9.5** inches
Sample standard deviation (s): **3.5**

Step 2: Define the Hypotheses.

The left-tailed test is structured to specifically test if the population mean has dropped below the hypothesized value:

H0: $\mu \geq 10$ (The population mean height is greater than or equal to 10 inches.)
H1: $\mu < 10$ (The population mean height is less than 10 inches.)

Step 3: Calculate the Test Statistic (t).

The calculation of the **t-statistic** proceeds as follows, substituting the sample data into the standard formula:

t = $(x - \mu) / (s / \sqrt{n}) = (9.5 - 10) / (3.5 / \sqrt{25}) =$ **-0.7143**

Step 4: Determine the P-Value.

Using the t-distribution for a left-tailed evaluation, we find the p-value corresponding to t = -0.7143 and degrees of freedom (df = 24). This calculation yields **0.24097**.

Step 5: Formulate the Conclusion.

We compare the resulting p-value (0.24097) to the threshold $\alpha = 0.05$. Since 0.24097 is significantly **greater than** 0.05, we do not have enough evidence to support the alternative hypothesis. Consequently, we **fail to reject the null hypothesis**. This indicates that, based on the collected sample, there is insufficient statistical evidence to conclude that the mean height of this plant species has actually dropped below 10 inches.

Summary and Further Exploration of T-Tests

The three examples above demonstrate the versatility of the One Sample T-Test in analyzing population characteristics against a hypothesized value, whether the researcher is looking for a difference (two-tailed), an increase (right-tailed), or a decrease (left-tailed). Mastering the ability to correctly define the **hypothesis testing** framework is critical to drawing accurate conclusions in statistical inference.

To further deepen your understanding of statistical inference and hypothesis testing methodologies, explore the following resources: