

Understanding Two-Way ANOVA: A Step-by-Step Guide

Authored by
Mohammed looti

November 1, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

Mohammed looti (2025). *Understanding Two-Way ANOVA: A Step-by-Step Guide*. PSYCHOLOGICAL STATISTICS. Retrieved from <https://statistics.arabpsychology.com/?p=7794>

A [Two-Way ANOVA](#) (Analysis of Variance) represents a fundamental and powerful statistical methodology used to concurrently investigate the impact of two independent categorical factors on a single continuous dependent variable. The core objective of this analysis is to rigorously determine whether a [statistically significant difference](#) exists among the group means when those groups are meticulously defined and segmented by the specific combination of the two influencing factors. This sophisticated approach moves beyond simple comparison to uncover complex relationships within the data set.

Unlike its simpler relative, the One-Way ANOVA, the Two-Way design offers researchers the critical capability to evaluate not only the isolated or "main effect" of each factor (Factor A and Factor B) but also the crucial potential for a multiplicative or "interaction effect" between them. Gaining proficiency in executing this calculation manually, often referred to as performing the ANOVA "by hand," provides invaluable theoretical insight into the foundational mechanisms of variance decomposition and hypothesis testing.

This comprehensive guide is structured to lead the reader through the meticulous calculations required for a balanced two-factor design. We will systematically derive the necessary components--specifically the different types of [Sum of Squares \(SS\)](#), the associated Degrees of Freedom (df), and the resulting F-statistics--all necessary steps for the accurate construction and interpretation of a complete Two-Way ANOVA summary table.

Illustrative Example: Calculating Two-Way ANOVA Manually

To establish a concrete framework for understanding the manual calculation process, we will utilize a practical example derived from an experimental setting. Consider a botanist who is conducting an experiment focused on optimizing plant growth. The botanist postulates that the final plant height, which serves as the continuous dependent variable, is jointly influenced by two distinct categorical factors: **Sunlight Exposure** (designated as Factor A) and **Watering Frequency** (designated as Factor B). For the experiment, forty identical seeds are planted and allowed to mature over a one-month observation period under carefully controlled environmental conditions.

The experimental design employs two distinct levels for the Watering Frequency (Daily and Weekly) and four distinct levels for Sunlight Exposure (No, Low, Medium, High). This balanced factorial structure results in a total of $2 \times 4 = 8$ unique treatment combinations or "cells." The primary data recorded by the botanist is the final height, measured in inches, for every plant at the conclusion of the observation period. The summarized results, detailing the mean height for each combination, are presented in the table below:

| | Sunlight Exposure | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|-----|--------|------|
| Watering Frequency | None | Low | Medium | High |
| Daily | 4.8 | 5 | 6.4 | 6.3 |
| | 4.4 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 6.4 |
| | 3.2 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 5.6 |
| | 3.9 | 4.3 | 5.5 | 4.8 |
| | 4.4 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| Weekly | 4.4 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 6 |
| | 4.2 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 4.9 |
| | 3.8 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 4.6 |
| | 3.7 | 5.4 | 6.5 | 5.6 |
| | 3.9 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 5.5 |

A critical feature of this experimental setup is its balanced design, ensuring that five plants were randomly assigned and grown under each of the eight specific combination conditions (e.g., five plants received daily watering and were exposed to no sunlight). This intentional balance significantly simplifies the complex manual computational steps that follow. For example, the raw measurement data collected specifically for the five plants receiving daily watering and no sunlight exposure were 4.8, 4.4, 3.2, 3.9, and 4.4 inches, as detailed in the data table below:

| | Sunlight Exposure | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|-----|--------|------|
| Watering Frequency | None | Low | Medium | High |
| Daily | 4.8 | 5 | 6.4 | 6.3 |
| | 4.4 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 6.4 |
| | 3.2 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 5.6 |
| | 3.9 | 4.3 | 5.5 | 4.8 |
| | 4.4 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| Weekly | 4.4 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 6 |
| | 4.2 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 4.9 |
| | 3.8 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 4.6 |
| | 3.7 | 5.4 | 6.5 | 5.6 |
| | 3.9 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 5.5 |

We will now proceed through the sequential steps required to successfully calculate and interpret the full two-way ANOVA.

Step 1: Calculating the Sum of Squares for Factor A (Watering Frequency)

The process of performing an ANOVA begins by partitioning the total variability observed in the dependent variable into variance components attributable to specific sources. Our first calculation focuses on determining the variance component explained by the first main factor, **Watering**

Frequency. Before calculating the SS, we must first determine the overall average, or [grand mean](#) height, calculated across all 40 observed plants:

$$\text{Grand mean} = (4.8 + 5 + 6.4 + 6.3 + \dots + 3.9 + 4.8 + 5.5 + 5.5) / 40 = \mathbf{5.1525}$$

Subsequently, we calculate the specific mean height for the plants associated with each level of Factor A. Since we have 20 observations for each watering level ($n=20$), we find:

$$\text{Mean of Daily Watering} = (4.8 + 5 + 6.4 + 6.3 + \dots + 4.4 + 4.8 + 5.8 + 5.8) / 20 = \mathbf{5.155}$$

$$\text{Mean of Weekly Watering} = (4.4 + 4.9 + 5.8 + 6 + \dots + 3.9 + 4.8 + 5.5 + 5.5) / 20 = \mathbf{5.15}$$

The formula utilized for calculating the [Sum of Squares](#) between groups (SS Factor A) isolates the variance explained by the factor's levels relative to the grand mean. The general formula is: $\sum n(X_j - X_{..})^2$, where:

n: Represents the uniform sample size within each group ($n=20$ in this case).

Σ : Denotes the mathematical instruction to sum the components across all levels (j).

X_j : Represents the specific mean of group j (e.g., Daily or Weekly mean).

$X_{..}$: Represents the overall grand mean of all observations.

Applying this formula to our example for the factor "watering frequency," we derive the Sum of Squares Factor A (SSWatering): $20(5.155 - 5.1525)^2 + 20(5.15 - 5.1525)^2 = \mathbf{0.00025}$.

Step 2: Calculating the Sum of Squares for Factor B (Sunlight Exposure)

We now shift our focus to calculating the variance attributed to the second main factor, **Sunlight Exposure**, which incorporates four distinct levels. We continue to use the established grand mean (**5.1525**) but must calculate the mean height specific to each sunlight level. Since there are 10 observations contributing to each sunlight level ($n=10$), the calculations proceed as follows:

$$\text{Mean of No Sunlight} = (4.8 + 4.4 + 3.2 + 3.9 + 4.4 + 4.4 + 4.2 + 3.8 + 3.7 + 3.9) / 10 = \mathbf{4.07}$$

For the sake of efficiency, the calculated mean height values for the remaining sunlight exposures are:

$$\text{Mean of Low Sunlight} = \mathbf{5.1}$$

$$\text{Mean of Medium Sunlight} = \mathbf{5.89}$$

$$\text{Mean of High Sunlight} = \mathbf{5.55}$$

Using the identical formula for Sum of Squares between groups, $\sum n(X_j - X_{..})^2$, where **n** is now 10 for each level, we calculate the Sum of Squares Factor B (SSSunlight) based on the deviation of these means from the grand mean:

$$SS_{\text{Sunlight}} = 10(4.07 - 5.1525)^2 + 10(5.1 - 5.1525)^2 + 10(5.89 - 5.1525)^2 + 10(5.55 - 5.1525)^2 = \mathbf{18.76475}.$$

Step 3: Determining Error and Total Variance Components

With the main effects calculated, the remaining variability must be divided into two crucial components: the variation due to random error (SS Within or SS Error) and the variation arising from the combined impact of the two factors (SS Interaction). We begin by calculating the **Sum of Squares Within (SS Within)**, which represents the pooled variability within each of the eight treatment cells.

To calculate SS Within, we sum the squared differences between each individual plant height and the mean of its specific treatment combination (cell mean). For instance, considering the cell representing daily watering and no sunlight, the cell mean is 4.14. The SS for this single cell is:

$$\text{SS for daily watering and no sunlight: } (4.8 - 4.14)^2 + (4.4 - 4.14)^2 + (3.2 - 4.14)^2 + (3.9 - 4.14)^2 + (4.4 - 4.14)^2 = \mathbf{1.512}$$

We must meticulously repeat this procedure for the remaining seven unique combinations of factors, resulting in the following SS values for each cell:

SS for daily watering and low sunlight: **0.928**

SS for daily watering and medium sunlight: **1.788**

SS for daily watering and high sunlight: **1.648**

SS for weekly watering and no sunlight: **0.34**

SS for weekly watering and low sunlight: **0.548**

SS for weekly watering and medium sunlight: **0.652**

SS for weekly watering and high sunlight: **1.268**

The overall [Sum of Squares](#) Within (Error) is the simple addition of all these individual cell variances:

$$\text{Sums of squares within (SS}_{\text{Within}}) = 1.512 + 0.928 + 1.788 + 1.648 + 0.34 + 0.548 + 0.652 + 1.268 = \mathbf{8.684}$$

Finally, we calculate the **Total Sum of Squares (SS Total)**, which encapsulates the entire variability present in the data set by measuring the squared difference between every single observation and the overall grand mean:

$$\text{Total Sum of Squares (SS}_{\text{Total}}) = (4.8 - 5.1525)^2 + (5 - 5.1525)^2 + \dots + (5.5 - 5.1525)^2 = \mathbf{28.45975}.$$

Step 4: Calculating the Sum of Squares Interaction

The **Sum of Squares Interaction (SS Interaction)** is a crucial term that quantifies the unique, non-additive variance explained specifically by the combined effect of Factor A and Factor B. This value isolates the variance that cannot be accounted for by the main effects or by random error. In a standard ANOVA framework, the total variance is perfectly decomposed, allowing us to find SS Interaction through subtraction:

$$\text{SS Interaction} = \text{SS Total} - \text{SS Factor 1} - \text{SS Factor 2} - \text{SS Within}$$

$$\text{SS Interaction} = 28.45975 - 0.00025 - 18.76475 - 8.684$$

$$\text{SS Interaction} = \mathbf{1.01075}$$

Step 5: Compiling the ANOVA Summary Table (df, MS, and F-Statistics)

Having successfully derived all the necessary [Sum of Squares](#) components, the next stage is to calculate the corresponding [Degrees of Freedom \(df\)](#) for each source of variation. We define our variables as follows: N = total observations (40), J = number of levels for watering frequency (J=2), and K = number of levels for sunlight exposure (K=4).

The Degrees of Freedom are calculated as follows:

$$\text{df Watering Frequency (Factor A): } J - 1 = 2 - 1 = \mathbf{1}$$

$$\text{df Sunlight Exposure (Factor B): } K - 1 = 4 - 1 = \mathbf{3}$$

$$\text{df Interaction (A x B): } (J - 1) * (K - 1) = 1 * 3 = \mathbf{3}$$

$$\text{df Within (Error): } N - (J * K) = 40 - (2 * 4) = \mathbf{32}$$

$$\text{df Total: } N - 1 = 40 - 1 = \mathbf{39}$$

The next critical step is calculating the **Mean Squares (MS)**, which provides an estimate of the population variance for each source. This is achieved by dividing the SS by its corresponding df ($MS = SS / df$). Finally, the **F-statistic**--the test statistic for the ANOVA--is derived by dividing the MS for the effect (A, B, or A x B) by the MS Within (Error), effectively creating a ratio of explained variance to unexplained variance:

$$\text{MS Calculation: } SS / df$$

$$\text{F Watering Frequency: } MS \text{ Watering Frequency} / MS \text{ Within}$$

$$\text{F Sunlight Exposure: } MS \text{ Sunlight Exposure} / MS \text{ Within}$$

$$\text{F Interaction: } MS \text{ Interaction} / MS \text{ Within}$$

All these calculated values are systematically organized into the standard Two-Way ANOVA summary table, providing a clear overview of the analysis:

| Source of Variation | SS | df | MS | F | p-value |
|---------------------|----------|----|----------|----------|---------|
| Watering Frequency | 0.00025 | 1 | 0.00025 | 0.000921 | 0.975 |
| Sunlight Exposure | 18.76475 | 3 | 6.254917 | 23.04898 | <.000 |
| Interaction | 1.01075 | 3 | 0.336917 | 1.241517 | 0.311 |
| Within | 8.684 | 32 | 0.271375 | | |
| Total | 28.45975 | 39 | | | |

To finalize the statistical testing, the corresponding [p-value](#) for each F-statistic is determined by referencing the F-distribution. This process requires specifying the correct numerator degrees of freedom (df for the effect) and denominator degrees of freedom (df Within):

p-value Watering Frequency: The p-value corresponding to the F value of 0.000921 with df numerator = 1 and df denominator = 32.

p-value Sunlight Exposure: The p-value corresponding to the F value of 23.04898 with df numerator = 3 and df denominator = 32.

p-value Interaction: The p-value corresponding to the F value of 1.241517 with df numerator = 3 and df denominator = 32.

Note #1: N refers to the total observations (40), J refers to the number of levels for watering frequency (2), and K refers to the number of levels for sunlight exposure (4).

Note #2: The specific p-values that correspond to the calculated F-values were derived using the F-distribution function.

Step 6: Interpreting the Statistical Results

The final and most crucial step in the ANOVA procedure is the interpretation of the results displayed in the summary table, specifically comparing the calculated [p-value](#) against a pre-established level of significance, commonly set at $\alpha = 0.05$. If the p-value for a specific effect is determined to be less than or equal to α , the effect is deemed statistically significant, leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis for that factor.

A thorough review of the generated ANOVA table yields the following interpretations regarding the effects on plant height:

The p-value associated with the interaction effect between watering frequency and sunlight exposure (A x B) was calculated as **0.311**. Since $0.311 > 0.05$, this interaction is **not statistically significant**.

The p-value for the main effect of watering frequency (Factor B) was **0.975**. Since $0.975 > 0.05$, this factor is **not statistically significant**.

The p-value for the main effect of sunlight exposure (Factor A) was **< 0.000**. Since this value is less than 0.05, this factor is **statistically significant**.

These conclusive findings reveal that among the two factors investigated, only **sunlight exposure** exerts a statistically significant influence on the final plant height. The botanist is therefore justified in rejecting the null hypothesis pertaining to Factor A (Sunlight Exposure).

Crucially, because the interaction effect was found to be non-significant, we can confidently conclude that the effect of sunlight exposure on plant height is consistent regardless of the watering frequency applied. In practical terms, whether a plant is watered daily or weekly does not alter how variations in sunlight exposure impact its ultimate growth, allowing us to interpret the main effects independently.

Further Learning and Resources

For those seeking to deepen their understanding of variance analysis and related statistical tests, the following tutorials provide valuable supplementary information and context regarding various ANOVA methodologies: