Learn How to Perform an ANCOVA in Excel: A Step-by-Step Guide

Authored by

Mohammed looti

November 8, 2025

The Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) is a powerful statistical technique that combines aspects of both ANOVA and regression analysis. Its primary purpose is to determine whether or not there is a statistically significant difference between the means of three or more independent groups, specifically *after* statistically controlling for the effects of one or more continuous variables, known as covariates.

By adjusting the response variable based on the covariate, ANCOVA allows researchers to remove extraneous variance, leading to a more precise and powerful assessment of the differences between the group means. This method is particularly useful in experimental designs where it is impossible to fully standardize the initial conditions of the subjects.

Since Microsoft Excel does not feature a dedicated built-in function or tool for performing ANCOVA directly, the procedure requires a careful, step-by-step manual calculation. This tutorial meticulously details how to execute a functional ANCOVA analysis within the Excel environment by leveraging its foundational statistical calculation capabilities.

Setting Up the ANCOVA Example

To illustrate the application of ANCOVA, we will analyze a common educational scenario. Suppose a teacher is investigating the efficacy of three distinct studying techniques (A, B, and C) on final exam scores. However, the teacher recognizes that students enter the study with varying levels of existing knowledge, which is measured by their current grade in the class. If this pre-existing knowledge is not accounted for, it could mask or exaggerate the true effect of the studying techniques.

To isolate the true impact of the studying technique, the teacher must perform an ANCOVA. This statistical adjustment allows the researcher to control for the baseline variation in student performance (the current grade). The structure of the experiment involves three distinct types of variables that must be clearly defined for the analysis to proceed accurately:

Factor variable (Independent Variable): The categorical variable being tested--in this case, the specific **studying technique** (Technique A, B, or C).
Covariate (Nuisance Variable): The continuous variable used for statistical adjustment--the student's **current grade** in the class prior to the intervention.
Response variable (Dependent Variable): The outcome being measured after the intervention--the final **exam score**.

The dataset gathered for this study includes 15 students, with five students assigned randomly to each of the three studying techniques. The data includes their current grade (covariate) and the subsequent exam score (response variable). The following table summarizes the raw data collected for the analysis:

Student	Study Technique	Current Grade	Exam Score
Student 1	A	67	77
Student 2	A	88	89
Student 3	A	75	72
Student 4	A	77	74
Student 5	A	85	69
Student 6	B	92	78
Student 7	B	69	88
Student 8	B	77	93
Student 9	B	74	94
Student 10	B	88	90
Student 11	C	96	85
Student 12	C	91	81
Student 13	C	88	83
Student 14	C	82	88
Student 15	C	80	79

We will now proceed through the necessary steps required to manually construct the ANCOVA table and determine the significance of the studying techniques using Excel.

Step 1 & 2: Data Input and Preliminary Calculations

The first crucial step involves organizing the data in a manner that facilitates the subsequent calculation of sums of squares and cross-products. Unlike a standard one-way ANOVA, where data is often grouped by factor level, the ANCOVA calculation requires the factor levels to be aligned with their corresponding covariate and response variable values in adjacent columns. This columnar structure ensures that statistical functions can correctly correlate the current grade with the exam score within each group.

Step 1: Input the data. Ensure the dataset is formatted with clear columns for the Factor (Technique), the Covariate (Current Grade), and the Response Variable (Exam Score). This layout is non-negotiable for the manual method we are employing.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
1		**Exam Scores**				**Current Grade**			
2									
3		Technique A	Technique B	Technique C		Technique A	Technique B	Technique C	
4		77	78	85		67	92	96	
5		89	88	81		88	69	91	
6		72	93	83		75	77	88	
7		74	94	88		77	74	82	
8		69	90	79		85	88	80	
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									

Step 2: Calculate the mean and variance for each column. Before calculating the main components of variance, we must determine the basic descriptive statistics for each group. Specifically, we need the mean and variance for both the current grades and the exam scores, calculated separately for each studying technique (A, B, and C). These values serve as the foundation for determining the variability within and between the groups.

Calculating these initial statistics involves using Excel functions such as `AVERAGE()` and `VAR.S()` (for sample variance). These statistics are essential because they contribute directly to the calculation of the Sum of Squares (SS) and the Sum of Products (SP) in later steps, which are the fundamental components of the ANCOVA adjustment process.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1		**Exam Scores**				**Current Grade**		
2								
3		Technique A	Technique B	Technique C		Technique A	Technique B	Technique C
4		77	78	85		67	92	96
5		89	88	81		88	69	91
6		72	93	83		75	77	88
7		74	94	88		77	74	82
8		69	90	79		85	88	80
9	Mean	76.2	88.6	83.2		78.4	80	87.4
10	Variance	59.7	40.8	12.2		69.8	93.5	42.8
11								
12								
13								

Step 3: Calculating Slopes for Covariate Adjustment

The core mathematical concept of ANCOVA relies on adjusting the outcome variable (Exam Score) by using the relationship it shares with the covariate (Current Grade). This relationship is quantified by the slope of the regression lines. Calculating the slopes is vital because it estimates how much the exam score changes for every one-unit change in the current grade.

Step 3: Calculate the slopes of the regression lines. We must calculate two primary types of slopes: individual slopes for each treatment group and a pooled slope. The individual slopes reflect the relationship between the covariate and the response variable *within* each technique. The pooled slope, however, assumes homogeneity of regression slopes--a key assumption of ANCOVA--meaning we assume the relationship between the covariate and response is roughly the same across all groups. This pooled slope is typically the one used for the final adjustment.

The calculation requires determining the Sum of Products (SP) and the Sum of Squares for the Covariate (SSx) for each group and for the total dataset. The slope (b) is defined as the ratio of the Sum of Products (SP) to the Sum of Squares of the Covariate (SSx). Using the Excel function `SLOPE()` can simplify this process by calculating the slope between the Exam Scores (Y) and Current Grades (X) directly for each group, as demonstrated below. These slopes are critical for deriving the adjusted sums of squares necessary for the final ANCOVA table.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1		**Exam Scores**				**Current Grade**		
2								
3		Technique A	Technique B	Technique C		Technique A	Technique B	Technique C
4		77	78	85		67	92	96
5		89	88	81		88	69	91
6		72	93	83		75	77	88
7		74	94	88		77	74	82
8		69	90	79		85	88	80
9	Mean	76.2	88.6	83.2		78.4	80	87.4
10	Variance	59.7	40.8	12.2		69.8	93.5	42.8
11								
12		**Slopes**						
13	SSx	279.2	374	171.2	824.4			
14	Slope	0.299	-0.414	0.074				
15	SSx * Slope	83.6	-155	12.6	-58.8			
16								
17	bw	-0.071						
18	bt	0.012						
19								
20		**Equations Used**						
21		=DEVSQ(F4:F8)	=DEVSQ(G4:G8)	=DEVSQ(H4:H8)	=SUM(B21:D21)			
22		=SLOPE(B4:B8, F4:F8)	=SLOPE(C4:C8, G4:G8)	=SLOPE(D4:D8, H4:H8)				
23		=B21*B22	=C21*C22	=D21*D22	=SUM(B23:D23)			
24								
25		=E23/E21						
26		=SLOPE(B12:D16, F12:H16)						
27								
28								

Step 4: Preliminary ANOVA Calculations

Although we are performing an ANCOVA, the adjustment formulas require the total variance components (Sums of Squares) derived from separate one-way ANOVA analyses. This step involves running a standard one-way ANOVA on the response variable (Exam Score) and the covariate (Current Grade) independently, ignoring the interaction between them for the moment.

First, we perform a one-way ANOVA on the Exam Scores. This analysis provides the Sum of Squares Total (SSTy), Sum of Squares Between Groups (SSBy), and Sum of Squares Within Groups (SSWy) for the response variable. These values represent the total, between-group, and within-group variance components *before* any adjustment for the covariate has been applied.

Reference: *How to Perform a One-Way ANOVA in Excel*

19							
20	ANOVA (**Exam Scores**)						
21	*Source of Variation*	*SS*	*df*	*MS*	*F*	*P-value*	*F crit*
22	Between Groups	386.533	2	193.267	5.145	0.024	3.885
23	Within Groups	450.8	12	37.567			
24							
25	Total	837.333	14				
26							

Next, we perform an identical one-way ANOVA on the Current Grades (the covariate). This yields the SS values for the covariate (SSTx, SSB x, SSW x). These values are necessary because they allow us to quantify how much of the variance in the covariate is shared across or within the treatment groups.

The primary goal of these preliminary ANOVAs is to gather the necessary Sum of Squares values for both variables (X and Y). These unadjusted SS values, along with the slopes calculated in Step 3, are the inputs for the complex formulas used in Step 5 to calculate the *adjusted* SS values, which form the basis of the true ANCOVA results.

29	ANOVA (**Current Grade**)						
30	*Source of Variation*	*SS*	*df*	*MS*	*F*	*P-value*	*F crit*
31	Between Groups	230.533	2	115.267	1.678	0.228	3.885
32	Within Groups	824.4	12	68.7			
33							
34	Total	1054.933	14				

Step 5 & 6: Constructing the ANCOVA Table and Interpretation

The final stage of the manual ANCOVA process involves synthesizing all the preceding calculations--the means, variances, slopes, and unadjusted SS values--into the standard ANCOVA summary table. This table presents the adjusted variance components, degrees of freedom, mean squares, F-statistic, and the corresponding p-value.

Step 5: Fill in the ANCOVA table. The critical step here is calculating the *Adjusted Sum of Squares* for the Treatment (Between Groups) and the Error (Within Groups). The adjustment essentially subtracts the variance explained by the covariate from the overall variance of the response variable. The adjusted error term (SSW adj) is calculated using the pooled within-group slope and the SSW values from the preliminary ANOVAs. Similarly, the adjusted total SS (SST adj) is calculated using the overall slope and the SST values. The adjusted treatment SS (SSB adj) is then found by subtracting the adjusted error SS from the adjusted total SS.

Once the adjusted Sums of Squares are determined, the degrees of freedom (df) are calculated (remembering to subtract one degree of freedom for the covariate adjustment). The Mean Squares (MS) are then calculated by dividing SS by df. Finally, the F-statistic is derived by dividing the MS for the Treatment (Between Groups) by the MS for the Error (Within Groups). This F-ratio tests the significance of the group differences after controlling for the covariate.

Note: *Cells H39:M43 show the precise formulas used in Excel to obtain the final adjusted values in cells B39:F43.*

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
11													
12		**Slopes**											
13	SSx	279.2	374	171.2	824.4								
14	Slope	0.299	-0.414	0.074									
15	SSx * Slope	83.6	-155	12.6	-58.8								
16													
17	bw	-0.071											
18	bt	0.012											
19													
20	ANOVA (**Exam Scores**)												
21	*Source of Variation*	*SS*	*df*	*MS*	*F*	*P-value*	*F crit*						
22	Between Groups	386.533	2	193.267	5.145	0.024	3.885						
23	Within Groups	450.8	12	37.567									
24													
25	Total	837.333	14										
26													
27													
28													
29	ANOVA (**Current Grade**)												
30	*Source of Variation*	*SS*	*df*	*MS*	*F*	*P-value*	*F crit*						
31	Between Groups	230.533	2	115.267	1.678	0.228	3.885						
32	Within Groups	824.4	12	68.7									
33													
34	Total	1054.933	14										
35													
36													
37	**ANCOVA Table**							**Equations Used**					
38	*Source of Variation*	*SS*	*df*	*MS*	*F*	*P-value*							
39	Study Technique	390.575	2	195.288	4.810	0.032		=B25-B18^2*B34-B41	=C22	=B39/C39	=D39/D41	=F.DIST.RT(E39, C39, C41)	
40	Current Grade	4.194	1	4.194	0.103	0.754		=B17*E15	=1	=B40/C40	=D40/D41	=F.DIST.RT(E40, C40, C41)	
41	Within	446.606	11	40.601				=B23-B17^2*B32	=C23-1	=B41/C41			
42													
43	Total	837.333						=B25					

Step 6: Interpret the results. The final interpretation hinges on the p-value associated with the Factor variable (Study Technique). This p-value indicates the probability of observing the current differences in exam scores if the treatment had absolutely no effect.

From the completed ANCOVA table, we observe that the p-value for the study technique is **0.032**. Since this value is less than the conventional significance level of 0.05, we possess sufficient evidence to reject the [null hypothesis](#). The null hypothesis, in this context, stated that each of the studying techniques leads to the same average exam score. By rejecting it, we conclude that there is a statistically significant difference in the mean exam scores among the three techniques, even after accounting for and statistically controlling the influence of the student's current grade in the class.

This result confirms that the differences observed in the exam performance are genuinely attributable to the effectiveness of the studying techniques, rather than merely being a reflection of the students' pre-existing academic proficiency.