

A Guide to Reporting Chi-Square Test Results in APA Format

Authored by
Mohammed looti

November 3, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

Mohammed looti (2025). *A Guide to Reporting Chi-Square Test Results in APA Format*. PSYCHOLOGICAL STATISTICS. Retrieved from <https://statistics.arabpsychology.com/?p=9271>

When researchers analyze data derived from qualitative classifications, such as survey responses or demographic groupings, they often employ tests designed for [categorical variables](#). Among the most prevalent of these is the [Chi-Square Test](#), a non-parametric procedure used to assess relationships or compare observed frequencies against expected distributions. For these findings to be accepted and understood within the scientific community, their presentation must strictly adhere to rigorous publishing standards. This guide provides an exhaustive breakdown of how to accurately report the results of both primary Chi-Square tests, ensuring perfect compliance with the latest [APA format](#) guidelines.

The Imperative of APA Format in Statistical Reporting

Adherence to [APA format](#) (7th Edition) for statistical reporting is not merely a formality; it is a critical component of research transparency and reproducibility. These guidelines ensure that all necessary statistical information—including the test statistic, [degrees of freedom](#), sample size, and probability value—is presented concisely and consistently. For the reader, this uniformity allows for immediate assessment of the statistical power and significance of the findings, regardless of the researcher's specific field of study.

Proper statistical reporting requires meticulous attention to detail, particularly concerning numerical precision and the use of appropriate symbols. Reporting the [Chi-Square Test](#) demands careful handling of the χ^2 notation, ensuring that the superscript is correctly displayed, and that the associated parameters are enclosed in parentheses immediately following the statistic. Furthermore, the narrative context surrounding the statistical block must clearly articulate the research question and the variables involved, thereby bridging the gap between raw numbers and meaningful interpretation.

By conforming to these standards, researchers elevate the credibility of their work. A poorly reported statistical result, even if substantively accurate, can confuse readers and undermine the perceived validity of the conclusions. Therefore, mastering the structural and stylistic requirements of APA is essential for any professional conducting or disseminating empirical research involving [categorical variables](#).

Differentiating the Primary Chi-Square Tests

The family of [Chi-Square Tests](#) is generally categorized into two distinct procedures, each designed to answer a different type of question about frequency data. Understanding the core difference between these two tests is foundational to writing an accurate APA report, as the subsequent narrative and interpretation will hinge on the specific test employed.

The Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test: This test addresses the distribution of a **single categorical variable**. Its primary purpose is to determine whether the observed frequencies of

categories significantly deviate from a specific, hypothesized distribution. The expected distribution might be based on theoretical principles (e.g., equality among groups), prior research, or established population parameters. For example, a researcher might use this test to see if the proportion of different colors of M&Ms in a bag matches the manufacturer's stated proportions.

The Chi-Square Test of Independence: This test is used when examining the relationship between **two or more categorical variables**. It assesses whether the classification of an observation according to one variable is independent of its classification according to the second variable. If the variables are not independent, they are considered associated. This test is crucial for cross-tabulation analysis, such as determining if there is a relationship between gender (Variable 1) and political affiliation (Variable 2).

The choice between these two tests dictates how the [degrees of freedom](#) (df) are calculated and, more importantly, how the results are framed in the final write-up. While both tests utilize the same core Chi-Square statistic (χ^2), the Goodness of Fit focuses on comparison to a single theoretical model, whereas the Test of Independence focuses on the interaction within a contingency table.

Reporting the Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test: Structure and Interpretation

The goal when reporting the **Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test** is to confirm whether the sample data aligns with the theoretical expectations. The APA write-up must be precise, starting with a clear statement of the purpose and moving directly to the statistical result, followed by interpretation.

The essential components that must be present in the results sentence, enclosed within parentheses, are: the [degrees of freedom](#) (df), the total sample size (N), the calculated Chi-Square value (χ^2), and the corresponding [p-value](#) (p). Note that the sample size is often included in the parentheses for non-parametric tests like the Chi-Square to provide necessary context regarding the data volume, even though N is technically used to calculate the expected frequencies, not the df.

The standard reporting template below provides the necessary structure. It is crucial to use the correct notation χ^2 , where χ is italicized and the 2 is superscripted, but not italicized:

A **Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test** was performed to determine whether the proportion of was equally distributed across levels/categories, as hypothesized.

The proportions significantly differ from the expected distribution, $\chi^2(df, N) = , p = .$

If the result is statistically significant (i.e., $p < .05$), the researcher must explicitly state that the null hypothesis of equal or expected proportions is rejected. This indicates that the observed

distribution is different from the theoretical model. Conversely, a non-significant result ($p \geq .05$) implies that the observed distribution is statistically consistent with the expected distribution. Following the statistical sentence, a brief descriptive statement should elaborate on the nature of the difference or lack thereof, often referencing the magnitude of the largest discrepancies between observed and expected counts.

Reporting the Chi-Square Test of Independence: Assessing Associations

When reporting the **Chi-Square Test of Independence**, the focus shifts entirely to the assessment of a relationship between two [categorical variables](#). The introductory narrative must explicitly name both variables and state the purpose of the test--to determine if the variables are associated or statistically independent.

For the Test of Independence, the [degrees of freedom](#) are calculated based on the dimensions of the contingency table used for the analysis: $df = (R - 1) \times (C - 1)$, where R is the number of rows and C is the number of columns. Unlike the Goodness of Fit test, the sample size (N) is especially crucial here as it directly impacts the power to detect an association, and must be included within the statistical parentheses.

The [Chi-Square Test](#) of Independence is typically accompanied by an examination of the residual values or post-hoc comparisons if a significant association is found. Merely stating that a relationship exists is insufficient; the researcher must describe the nature of that relationship. For example, which specific categories of Variable 1 are disproportionately linked to specific categories of Variable 2?

The general structure for presenting the results of the **Chi-Square Test of Independence** in [APA format](#) is formalized as follows:

A **Chi-Square Test of Independence** was performed to assess the relationship between and .

There a significant association between the two variables, $\chi^2(df, N) = , p = .$

If the result is statistically significant ($p < .05$), the interpretation should clearly state that the variables are dependent. If the result is not significant ($p \geq .05$), it suggests that there is no sufficient evidence to conclude that the variables are related in the population, and they are assumed to be independent. When significance is achieved, the accompanying narrative must specify the direction and strength of the observed pattern, often referring the reader to a corresponding contingency table or figure.

Strict APA Guidelines for Numerical Presentation

The precision required by [APA format](#) extends beyond the structural template to the formatting of

the numerical values themselves. Errors in rounding or notation can lead to confusion or misinterpretation of the statistical findings. It is imperative to treat numerical values and statistical symbols with utmost care.

Key formatting requirements for numerical values and symbols include:

Rounding the Chi-Square Statistic: The calculated value for the **Chi-Square test statistic** (χ^2) must be consistently rounded to **two decimal places**. This standard two-digit precision applies across most major statistical tests reported in APA format.

Rounding the P-Value: The [p-value](#) should typically be reported to **three decimal places**. However, if the [p-value](#) is extremely small (e.g., less than .001), it should be reported as $p < .001$, rather than listing excessive decimal points.

Omitting the Leading Zero: For all statistical values that cannot exceed 1 (e.g., the [p-value](#), correlation coefficients, or proportions) and for the χ^2 value if it is less than 1, the leading zero must be omitted. For instance, report .45, not 0.45. Conversely, if χ^2 is greater than 1, the leading zero convention does not apply.

Italicization of Symbols: The symbols for the test statistic (χ^2), [degrees of freedom](#) (df), and [p-value](#) (p) must be italicized when presented in text. The only exception is the superscript '2' on the Chi-Square symbol, which remains non-italicized.

Furthermore, ensure that the [degrees of freedom](#) (df) and the sample size (N) are clearly presented within the parentheses, separated by a comma. The parentheses must immediately follow the italicized test statistic symbol, thereby forming a cohesive statistical block that encapsulates all required information: $\chi^2(df, N) = \chi^2 \text{ value}, p = p\text{-value}$.

Practical Application: Detailed Examples

To solidify the understanding of these reporting rules, reviewing specific, detailed examples is essential. These examples demonstrate how the narrative structure integrates with the strict numerical formatting requirements for both types of [Chi-Square Tests](#).

Example 1: Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Application

Consider a scenario where a marketing team is analyzing customer preference data across four distinct product packaging options (A, B, C, D). They hypothesize that, ideally, the preference should be equally distributed (25% for each option). A survey of 120 customers yields an observed distribution that appears unequal. The subsequent **Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test** is used to determine if this observed deviation from the expected equal proportions is statistically significant.

The statistical calculation resulted in a Chi-Square value of 8.92, based on a sample size (N) of 120 and 3 [degrees of freedom](#) (4 categories - 1). The corresponding [p-value](#) was calculated as

.030. Since .030 is less than the standard alpha level of .05, the result is statistically significant, meaning the observed distribution of preferences is not equal.

Here is the formal APA report:

A **Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test** was performed to determine whether customer preference for four different packaging options (A, B, C, D) was equally distributed, as predicted by the null hypothesis.

The proportions significantly differed across the four options, $\chi^2(3, N = 120) = 8.92, p = .030$. Specifically, Option C received a significantly higher number of preferences (38%) than the expected 25% proportion.

Example 2: Chi-Square Test of Independence Application

Imagine a sociological study investigating whether level of education (High School, College, Graduate) is associated with willingness to adopt new technology (Willing, Not Willing). Data was collected from 95 participants. The researchers performed a **Chi-Square Test of Independence** to assess the relationship between these two [categorical variables](#).

The analysis revealed a strong, significant association between education level and willingness to adopt technology. The resulting Chi-Square test statistic was 12.55, calculated with 2 [degrees of freedom](#) (3 rows - 1) * (2 columns - 1) and a sample size (N) of 95. The [p-value](#) was calculated as .002.

The formal APA report must clearly state the nature of the association that drives the significance:

A **Chi-Square Test of Independence** was performed to assess the relationship between level of education and willingness to adopt new technology.

There was a significant association between the two variables, $\chi^2(2, N = 95) = 12.55, p = .002$. Participants with a Graduate degree were significantly more likely to be willing to adopt new technology compared to participants in the High School and College groups.

Conclusion: Ensuring Precision and Clarity in Statistical Communication

The accurate reporting of the [Chi-Square Test](#) results is a fundamental skill in quantitative research. Whether the test is used for Goodness of Fit (comparing observed to expected frequencies) or Test of Independence (assessing association between two categorical variables), the core requirement remains consistent: clear, concise, and rigorously compliant reporting in [APA format](#).

Researchers must always ensure that the context of the statistical analysis is provided before the numerical result. Key elements to double-check include: the correct use of the italicized χ^2 symbol, the inclusion of the [degrees of freedom](#) and sample size, and strict adherence to rounding rules (two decimal places for χ^2 , three for p). By maintaining this level of precision, researchers guarantee that their findings are not only scientifically sound but also accessible and reproducible for the global research community.

The following tutorials explain how to report other statistical tests and procedures in APA format:

Resource 1: Reporting ANOVA Results in APA

Resource 2: Reporting Regression Analysis in APA

Resource 3: Comprehensive Guide to Statistical Tables