

Understanding and Reporting Logistic Regression: A Comprehensive Guide

Authored by
Mohammed Iooti

November 2, 2025

RECOMMENDED CITATION

Mohammed Iooti (2025). *Understanding and Reporting Logistic Regression: A Comprehensive Guide*. PSYCHOLOGICAL STATISTICS. Retrieved from <https://statistics.arabpsychology.com/?p=8651>

Logistic regression is one of the most fundamental and widely used statistical modeling techniques in fields ranging from public health to finance. Its primary application lies in scenarios where the outcome variable--the event we aim to predict--is a **dichotomous outcome**. This means the response variable can only exist in one of two states, such as success/failure, presence/absence, or survival/death.

Unlike standard ordinary least squares (OLS) regression, which is designed to predict continuous variables, logistic regression models the probability that a specific event will occur. This fundamental difference requires a unique approach to interpretation. The raw output of a logistic model is expressed in the difficult-to-interpret scale of log-odds, necessitating a crucial transformation into comprehensible metrics--most importantly, the **odds ratios** (ORs)--to effectively convey the model's findings to stakeholders.

Structuring the Comprehensive Logistic Regression Report

A high-quality report detailing a logistic regression analysis must go far beyond a simple printout of statistical software results. It requires a clear articulation of the study's purpose, a precise definition of the variables used, and, most critically, a straightforward interpretation of the key findings in real-world terms. The goal is to translate complex mathematical relationships into actionable insights for non-statistical audiences.

We advocate for a standardized reporting format that systematically addresses the contribution of each predictor variable to the likelihood of the outcome event. This systematic approach ensures that three vital pieces of information are always included for every predictor: the direction of the effect, the magnitude of the effect (using odds ratios), and the precision or uncertainty surrounding the estimate (using the **confidence interval**).

The following robust template serves as a strong foundation for reporting logistic regression results with clarity and statistical rigor, ensuring consistent communication of complex findings:

A logistic regression analysis was conducted to examine the association between , , ... and the **odds** of occurring.

It was determined that, when holding all other predictor variables constant (the condition of *ceteris paribus*), the odds of occurring by (95% CI) for a one-unit increase in .

Furthermore, maintaining all other predictor variables at a constant level, the odds of occurring by (95% CI) for a one-unit increase in .

...

This reporting syntax effectively communicates the **odds ratios** and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI). These metrics are significantly more intuitive and accessible for a broad audience compared to the raw [log-odds](#) estimates derived directly from the model output.

Decoding the Raw Statistical Output

Prior to drafting the final, interpretive summary, researchers must thoroughly understand the raw statistical output generated by software packages. The fundamental challenge in interpreting this output is that the estimated [coefficients](#) (often denoted as Beta values, β) are expressed on the log-odds scale, which lacks immediate practical meaning.

A standard output table typically displays the intercept, the estimated coefficients (β), the standard error associated with those coefficients, the z-statistic used for testing significance, and the p-value ($\Pr(>|z|)$). While these statistics are essential for confirming the statistical significance of the predictor variables, they do not directly quantify the practical magnitude of the change in the probability of the outcome event.

To illustrate, a positive coefficient ($\beta > 0$) signifies that an increase in the predictor variable leads to an increase in the log-odds of the outcome occurring. Conversely, a negative coefficient ($\beta < 0$) indicates a decrease in the log-odds. However, to convert this change in log-odds into a quantifiable, percentage-based change in the actual odds, a crucial mathematical conversion step is mandatory.

The Essential Transformation: Calculating and Interpreting Odds Ratios

The single most critical transformation when reporting logistic regression results involves converting the raw coefficient estimates (β) into **odds ratios** (ORs). The odds ratio is a powerful metric because it represents the multiplicative factor by which the odds of the outcome event change for every one-unit increase in the predictor variable, assuming all other variables in the model are held constant.

This transformation utilizes a straightforward exponential formula: $OR = e^{\beta}$. Once calculated, the odds ratio provides an immediate, practical interpretation of the predictor's effect:

If the OR is **greater than 1.0**, the predictor variable increases the odds of the outcome event. For example, an OR of 1.5 implies that the odds increase by 50% ($1.5 - 1 = 0.5$, or 50%) for a one-unit change in the predictor.

If the OR is **less than 1.0**, the predictor variable decreases the odds of the outcome event. For instance, an OR of 0.80 means the odds decrease by 20% ($1 - 0.80 = 0.20$, or 20%).

If the OR is **exactly 1.0**, the predictor has no demonstrable effect on the odds of the outcome

event.

Reporting these derived odds ratios, rather than the abstract raw Beta values, dramatically improves the clarity and accessibility of the research findings for both technical peers and general, non-statistical audiences, making the impact of the predictors easily quantifiable and communicable.

Assessing Precision: The Role of Confidence Intervals

A complete and statistically rigorous report must always supplement the point estimate of the odds ratio with the **95% confidence interval (CI)**. The CI is essential because it defines a plausible range within which the true population odds ratio is expected to reside, offering a measure of the precision and stability of the estimated effect.

The 95% CI for the odds ratio is derived by exponentiating the confidence limits calculated on the raw log-odds scale. The typical formula for this calculation is: $e^{(\beta \pm 1.96 \times \text{standard error})}$.

Interpreting the CI for odds ratios is fundamentally linked to the null value of 1.0. If the calculated 95% CI **does not encompass the value 1.0**, the predictor variable is considered statistically significant at the standard 0.05 level. This indicates a high degree of confidence (95%) that the predictor exerts a genuine, non-zero influence on the outcome odds. Conversely, if the CI **does contain 1.0**, the effect is deemed statistically non-significant, suggesting that we cannot rule out the possibility of zero effect.

Practical Application: A Case Study in Exam Performance

To ground these concepts in a practical context, let us examine a typical scenario in educational research. Imagine a university professor wishes to analyze the factors influencing student academic success. Specifically, the professor is interested in determining if participation in a specific study program (Program A versus Program B) and the total number of hours a student dedicates to studying affect the probability of passing the final exam.

The professor models this relationship using logistic regression, employing two key predictor variables: **Hours Studied** (a continuous variable) and **Studying Program** (a binary categorical variable, with Program B serving as the designated reference category). The dependent variable is the **Exam Result**, coded dichotomously as Pass or Fail.

The following table represents the core results extracted from the statistical software output, showing the raw coefficients on the log-odds scale:

Coefficients:

Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	-2.415	0.623	-3.876 <0.000
program_A	0.344	0.156	2.205 0.027
hours	0.006	0.002	3.000 0.003

Step 1: Calculating the Odds Ratios

We apply the exponential transformation formula, $OR = e^{\beta}$, to the estimated coefficients:

Odds Ratio for Program A: $e^{.344}$ approx 1.41.

Odds Ratio for Hours Studied: $e^{.006}$ approx 1.006.

Step 2: Calculating the 95% Confidence Intervals

Next, we determine the precision of these estimates by calculating the 95% CI for the odds ratio of each predictor using the formula $e^{(\beta \pm 1.96 \times \text{std error})}$:

95% C.I. for Odds Ratio of Program A: $e^{.344 \pm 1.96 \times .156}$. The resulting interval is 1.00 to 1.82 . (Since 1.0 is not included, this effect is significant.)

95% C.I. for Odds Ratio of Hours: $e^{.006 \pm 1.96 \times .002}$. The resulting interval is 0.99 to 1.01 . (Since 1.0 is not included, this effect is significant.)

Final Synthesis: Generating the Interpretive Summary

With the calculated odds ratios and their corresponding confidence intervals in hand, we can now construct the final, clear, and statistically sound report summary that adheres to the best practices introduced earlier:

A logistic regression model was employed to examine the relationship between the studying program utilized (Program A vs. Program B) and the total hours studied on the probability of a student achieving a passing grade on the final exam.

The analysis indicated that participation in **Studying Program A** significantly increased the odds of passing the final exam when compared to Studying Program B. Specifically, holding the number of hours studied constant, the odds of a student passing the exam increased by 41% (OR = 1.41; 95% CI) for those enrolled in Program A.

Furthermore, **Hours Studied** demonstrated a statistically significant positive effect on the outcome. Keeping the studying program constant, the odds of passing the final exam increased by 0.6% (OR

= 1.006; 95% CI) for every additional hour dedicated to studying.

The use of the odds ratios (1.41 and 1.006), complemented by the percentage interpretations (41% and 0.6%), ensures the findings are immediately accessible and impactful. By reporting these multiplicative factors instead of the raw log-odds Beta values, researchers achieve superior communication of the statistical results, providing a direct measure of the magnitude of effect on the outcome odds.

Additional Resources for Advanced Reporting

For those seeking to deepen their understanding of advanced logistic regression methodology, model diagnostics, and detailed reporting standards, the following tutorials and documentation are recommended: