

Use the identical() Function in R (With Examples)

Authored by
Mohammed loot

April 7, 2026

RECOMMENDED CITATION

Mohammed loot (2026). *Use the identical() Function in R (With Examples)*.
PSYCHOLOGICAL STATISTICS. Retrieved from
<https://statistics.arabpsychology.com/?p=3389>

In the powerful environment of [R](#) programming, the need to accurately compare various [objects](#) is a foundational requirement for data manipulation and analysis. While several comparison [functions](#) and [operators](#) exist, the [identical\(\)](#) function distinguishes itself through its absolute strictness. It provides a robust, uncompromising method to ascertain if two R objects are unequivocally the same--a bit-for-bit match. This degree of meticulous precision is vital in situations where even the most minor deviation in attributes, class designation, or internal structural representation could lead to critical errors or unexpected behaviors during code execution.

Unlike methods that rely on element-wise checks or numerical approximations, **`identical()`** performs a deep and comprehensive audit of every facet of the objects under comparison. This inherent strictness positions it as an indispensable utility for rigorous debugging processes, validating complex data consistency checks, and guaranteeing the integrity of sophisticated data structures within large-scale R projects. Over the course of this detailed guide, we will meticulously examine its [syntax](#), understand its underlying mechanism, and explore its practical applications across diverse data types through a series of clear and actionable examples.

Understanding the Syntax and Mechanism of `identical()`

The [identical\(\)](#) function in R is designed with a straightforward and highly intuitive syntax, ensuring ease of implementation across various programming tasks. Its core mission is to compare two distinct R objects, conventionally referred to as \bar{x} and \bar{y} , to determine their absolute equality, encompassing not only their values but their entire internal structure and metadata. The fundamental signature of the function is presented as follows:

`identical(x, y, ...)`

The function's arguments specify the objects being checked, and their purpose is clearly defined:

x: This argument represents the first object intended for comparison. It can legitimately be any valid R data structure or type, ranging from primitive types like a [string](#) or atomic [vector](#), to more complex structures such as a [data frame](#), a list, or even a functional object.

y: This argument denotes the second object used in the comparison. For the function to return **TRUE**, \bar{y} must be an exact duplicate of \bar{x} in every sense. While **`identical()`** will always yield a result even if the object types differ drastically, such a comparison will invariably return **FALSE**, signaling non-equivalence.

...: This standard ellipsis allows for the potential passing of additional arguments, though in the vast majority of standard usage scenarios for **`identical()`**, \bar{x} and \bar{y} are the only arguments provided. These extra parameters are typically reserved for internal function management or highly specialized diagnostic checks.

Crucially, the function's output is a singular [Boolean](#) value: **TRUE** if the two objects are confirmed

to be absolutely identical in every measurable characteristic (including values, attributes, class, and memory storage mode), and **FALSE** if any discrepancy is detected, regardless of how minor it may seem.

Example 1: Using `identical()` to Compare Strings and Character Data

The comparison of character data, or strings, for exact equality is a routine operation in tasks involving data preprocessing, quality assurance, and text analysis. The `identical()` function guarantees that the comparison is flawless, requiring that every character, including adherence to case sensitivity and the presence or absence of whitespace, matches perfectly across both objects. We begin by illustrating a fundamental scenario involving two character strings that are demonstrably identical.

```
# Define two identical strings
```

```
string1 <- 'This is some string'
```

```
string2 <- 'This is some string'
```

```
# Check if the two strings are identical
```

```
identical(string1, string2)
```

```
TRUE
```

In this initial test, the function correctly yields **TRUE** because `string1` and `string2` are exact, character-for-character replicas. This result validates their absolute equivalence in both content and underlying structure, which is the primary criterion for `identical()`.

We now shift focus to observe the behavior of `identical()` when strings contain even the slightest variation. The following example starkly demonstrates the function's extreme sensitivity to minor textual differences, confirming its utility when strict content verification is paramount.

```
# Define two strings with a minor difference
```

```
string1 <- 'This is some string'
```

```
string2 <- 'This is some cool string'
```

```
# Check if the two strings are identical
```

```
identical(string1, string2)
```

```
FALSE
```

The function returns **FALSE** in this situation. The reason is straightforward: `string1` and `string2` are not absolutely identical due to the inclusion of the word "cool" in the second object. This

outcome unequivocally demonstrates **`identical()`**'s strict requirement for an exact match across the entire character sequence, failing the comparison on the basis of a content difference.

A crucial aspect of **`identical()`** is its ability to detect subtle, non-visible differences that other comparison methods might overlook, such as variations in character case or extraneous leading or trailing whitespace. These nuances are treated as significant discrepancies, preventing the objects from being deemed identical.

Define strings with case and space differences

```
string_a <- ' Hello World '
```

```
string_b <- 'hello world'
```

```
string_c <- ' Hello World ' # Same as string_a
```

```
# Compare string_a and string_b (case and spaces differ)
```

```
identical(string_a, string_b)
```

```
FALSE
```

```
# Compare string_a and string_c (identical)
```

```
identical(string_a, string_c)
```

```
TRUE
```

The comparison between `string_a` and `string_b` yields **FALSE** due to two fundamental differences: the capitalization mismatch and the presence of padding whitespace in `string_a`. This outcome confirms that **`identical()`** is rigorously comparing the underlying character sequence, including all non-visible characters. Conversely, the comparison of `string_a` and `string_c` correctly returns **TRUE** because they are exact duplicates, including the leading and trailing spaces.

Example 2: Using `identical()` to Compare Vectors and Storage Modes

[Vectors](#) constitute the most fundamental and essential data structure in R, designed to store ordered collections of elements of a uniform type. When applying **`identical()`** to vectors, the function performs a triple check: it verifies the exact match of all elements, confirms their sequential order, and ensures the underlying attributes, such as class and storage mode, are consistent. We begin by examining a pair of vectors that are indisputably identical.

Define two identical vectors

```
vector1 <- c('A', 'B', 'C', 'D', 'E', 'F')
```

```
vector2 <- c('A', 'B', 'C', 'D', 'E', 'F')
```

```
# Check if the two vectors are identical
identical(vector1, vector2)
```

```
TRUE
```

The resulting **TRUE** value is precisely what is expected. Both `vector1` and `vector2` contain the same elements, arranged in the same sequence, and possess identical internal attributes. This scenario serves as a perfect illustration of how **identical()** confirms absolute equivalence between two vector objects.

Next, we consider a straightforward scenario involving vectors that differ in size. Because **identical()** demands absolute structural equivalence, any disparity in length will immediately be flagged as a non-match, irrespective of the common elements they might share.

```
# Define two vectors with different lengths
```

```
vector1 <- c('A', 'B', 'C', 'D', 'E', 'F')
```

```
vector2 <- c('A', 'B', 'C', 'D')
```

```
# Check if the two vectors are identical
identical(vector1, vector2)
```

```
FALSE
```

The function returns **FALSE** because the vectors have different numbers of elements. Even if the first four elements matched perfectly, the difference in dimensionality is sufficient evidence for **identical()** to determine that the two objects are not the same entity.

One of the most frequent pitfalls for R programmers involves the distinction between numeric (double-precision floating-point) and integer types. Although these types may display the same numerical values when printed, their underlying storage mechanism and class attributes are fundamentally different. **identical()** is designed to be keenly sensitive to these internal structural nuances, which is a critical feature for maintaining code reliability.

```
# Define a numeric vector and an integer vector
```

```
num_vector <- c(1, 2, 3)
```

```
int_vector <- c(1L, 2L, 3L) # L suffix denotes integer
```

```
# Check if they are identical
identical(num_vector, int_vector)
```

```
FALSE
```

Despite containing the same numerical values, `num_vector` (which is of class `numeric`) and `int_vector` (which is of class `integer`) are correctly classified as non-identical by the function. This behavior underscores a key principle: **identical()** performs checks beyond mere value equality, extending its vigilance to storage mode, class, and other critical metadata. If the objective is solely to check for approximate numerical equivalence, a function like [all.equal\(\)](#) is typically the more appropriate selection.

Example 3: Using identical() to Compare Data Frames

[Data frames](#) are highly versatile R objects used ubiquitously for storing tabular data, mirroring the structure of relational database tables or spreadsheets. When utilized to compare two data frames, **identical()** executes a profound, deep comparison, meticulously verifying column names, row names, the data types within each column, the specific cell values, and all associated structural attributes. We begin by establishing two data frames that are exact replicas of one another.

Define two identical data frames

```
df1 <- data.frame(team=c('A', 'B', 'C', 'D'),
  points=c(14, 20, 22, 29))
```

```
df2 <- data.frame(team=c('A', 'B', 'C', 'D'),
  points=c(14, 20, 22, 29))
```

```
# Check if two data frames are identical
identical(df1, df2)
```

```
TRUE
```

The function successfully returns **TRUE**, thereby confirming that `df1` and `df2` are complete, exact copies. This outcome signifies that both objects share identical dimensions, possess the same column and row names, utilize the same data types for corresponding columns, and contain perfectly matching values in every single cell. This scenario represents the absolute requirement for **identical()** to succeed.

Next, to highlight the function's extreme sensitivity, we introduce a modification to a single data value within one of the objects. This subtle change is sufficient to violate the stringent exact equality requirement enforced by **identical()**.

Define two data frames with a single value difference

```
df1 <- data.frame(team=c('A', 'B', 'C', 'D'),
  points=c(14, 20, 22, 29))
```

```
df2 <- data.frame(team=c('A', 'B', 'C', 'D'),
  points=c(99, 20, 22, 29))
```

```
# Check if two data frames are identical
identical(df1, df2)
```

```
FALSE
```

As expected, the function immediately returns **FALSE**. The alteration of just one `points` value from 14 to 99 in `df2` is enough to render the objects non-identical. This powerfully demonstrates the function's ability to detect even the most minute inconsistencies, making it highly valuable for verifying data integrity or detecting unintended manipulation.

Finally, we investigate data frames that hold the same factual information but differ structurally, specifically in the arrangement of their columns. Since **identical()** is concerned with the complete internal representation, structural deviations are also fatal to the comparison.

Define data frames with different column orders

```
df_a <- data.frame(id=c(1, 2), name=c('X', 'Y'))
df_b <- data.frame(name=c('X', 'Y'), id=c(1, 2))
```

```
# Check if they are identical
identical(df_a, df_b)
```

```
FALSE
```

Although `df_a` and `df_b` contain the identical set of data values, their column order is reversed. **identical()** correctly registers this difference in structure and returns **FALSE**. This illustrates the function's comprehensive scope, which extends far beyond simple element values to include the exact layout and representation of the object.

When to Use `identical()` vs. Other Comparison Methods

While **identical()** is the definitive choice for performing strict equality checks, the R environment provides other specialized comparison [operators](#) and functions, each serving distinct comparative needs. A clear understanding of these distinctions is crucial for selecting the most effective tool for any given programming task.

identical(): This function should be employed when the requirement is to confirm that two objects are exact, byte-for-byte replicas, matching in attributes, class, structure, and values. It is the gold standard for verifying that an object has remained absolutely unchanged, for validating the precise

output of a function, or for guaranteeing data integrity during complex, multi-step operations.

`==` operator: This operator conducts element-wise comparisons and produces a [Boolean vector](#) as its result. It is significantly less strict than `identical()`, often performing automatic type coercion and disregarding object attributes. For instance, comparing a numeric vector to an integer vector with the same values (e.g., `c(1,2) == c(1L,2L)`) will return `TRUE TRUE`, whereas `identical(c(1,2), c(1L,2L))`, as demonstrated earlier, returns `FALSE` due to the class mismatch.

`all.equal()`: This function is specifically engineered for "near equality" comparisons, making it invaluable when dealing with floating-point numbers where absolute equality checks are often unreliable due to internal precision limitations. It returns `TRUE` if the objects are close enough based on a tolerance level, or it provides a descriptive character string detailing the differences if they exceed that tolerance. It offers a more forgiving approach to minor numerical and attribute discrepancies than `identical()`.

Ultimately, the choice of comparison method must align precisely with the required level of fidelity. For absolute, structural, and bitwise equality, `identical()` remains the definitive and most reliable choice.

Conclusion

The `identical()` function represents a cornerstone of robust programming practices in R. Its unique capability to perform a strict, comprehensive audit for exact equality between any two objects makes it an indispensable tool for tasks demanding the highest fidelity, including unit testing, advanced debugging, and critical data validation. By mastering its syntax and understanding how its uncompromising nature applies across disparate data types--such as strings, vectors, and data frames--R users can significantly enhance the reliability and stability of their analytical code.

It is vital to recognize that the primary strength of `identical()` is its intolerance for ambiguity. It provides zero margin for error, refusing to equate objects that differ in data type, internal attributes, or structural arrangement, even when their primary values might superficially appear similar. This strictness is not a limitation, but rather its greatest advantage, enabling developers to detect subtle yet potentially catastrophic issues that are easily overlooked by less rigorous comparison mechanisms.

Additional Resources for R Programming

To further advance your R programming expertise and gain deeper insights into object comparison methodologies and data manipulation best practices, the following authoritative resources are highly recommended:

[Official R Documentation](#): The definitive and comprehensive source for all R functions, packages,

and underlying language specification.

[An Introduction to R](#): A meticulously crafted manual suitable for both novice and intermediate users seeking a systematic guide to the R language.

[Advanced R by Hadley Wickham](#): Offers an in-depth exploration of complex R programming concepts, focusing on object orientation, performance, and internal structures.

[Comparing R Objects with all.equal\(\)](#): Provides a specialized reference for utilizing the approximate equality function, particularly useful for numerical comparisons.

[Understanding R Data Types](#): An excellent tutorial resource for grasping the nuances of R's various data types and how their classification influences comparison outcomes.